

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
OFFICE OF SPECIAL EDUCATION & REHABILITATIVE SERVICES
OFFICE OF SPECIAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20202

FISCAL YEAR 2000

**APPLICATION FOR NEW GRANTS UNDER THE
INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES EDUCATION ACT (IDEA)**

**RESEARCH AND INNOVATION TO IMPROVE SERVICES AND
RESULTS FOR CHILDREN WITH DISABILITIES (CFDA 84.324)**

Student Initiated Research Projects (CFDA 84.324B)

Field Initiated Research Projects (CFDA 84.324C)

**Model Demonstration Projects for Children with Disabilities
(CFDA 84.32M)**

Initial Career Awards (CFDA 84.324N)

**Research Institute to Enhance the Role of Special Education and Children with
Disabilities in Education Policy Reform
(CFDA 84.324P)**

Outreach Projects for Children with Disabilities (CFDA 84.324R)

**Improving Post-School Outcomes: Identifying and Promoting What Works (CFDA
84.324W)**



DATED MATERIAL OPEN IMMEDIATELY

CLOSING DATE: SEE ENCLOSED LIST OF CLOSING DATES

FORM APPROVED

OMB No. 1820-0028, EXP. DATE: 07/00

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Dear Applicant Letter.....	A1
Program Charts with Competition Closing Dates.....	B1
Priority (Competition) Descriptions by Program	
<u>Research and Innovation to Improve Services and Results for Children with Disabilities</u>	
Student Initiated Research Projects (CFDA No. 84.324B).....	C1
Field Initiated Research Projects (CFDA No. 84.324C).....	C4
Initial Career Awards (CFDA No. 84.324N).....	C8
Research Institute to Enhance the Role of Special Education and Children with Disabilities in Education Policy Reform (CFDA No. 84.324P).....	C12
Improving Post-School Outcomes: Identifying and Promoting What Works (CFDA No. 84.324W).....	C18
Selection Criteria and Format for 84.324B, 84.324C, 84.324N, 84.324P and 84.324W.....	C24
Model Demonstration Projects for Children with Disabilities (CFDA No. 84.324M).....	C28
Selection Criteria and Format for 84.324M.....	C31
Outreach Projects for Children with Disabilities (CFDA No. 84.324R).....	C36
Selection Criteria and Format for 84.324R.....	C40
General Information on Completing an Application	D1
Application Transmittal Instructions and Requirements for Intergovernmental Review (Executive Order 12372).	E1
Notice to All Applicants (Ensuring Equitable Access) and Application Forms and Instructions.....	F1
Part I: Application for Federal Assistance (ED Form 424, expires 6/30/2001)	

Part II: Budget Information -- Non-Construction Programs and Instructions
(ED Form 524)

Part III: Application Narrative

Part IV: Assurances and Certifications

Assurances -- Non-Construction Program

Certifications Regarding Lobbying; Debarment, Suspension, and
Other Responsibility Matters; and Drug-Free Workplace Requirements
(ED Form 80-0013)

Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary
Exclusion -- Lower Tier Covered Transactions (ED Form 80-0014)

Disclosure of Lobbying Activities

Important Notice to Prospective Participants in USDE Contract and Grant Programs

Notice to All Applicants: The Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA)

Dear Applicant:

This application packet contains information and the required forms for you to use in submitting a new application for funding under a program authorized by the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). This packet covers four competitions under the Research and Innovation to Improve Services and Results for Children with Disabilities (CFDA 84.324) program. A chart listing pertinent information, including the closing date for each competition, can be found on page B1 of this package.

An application for an award must: (1) be mailed or hand-delivered by the closing date; and, (2) have an **original** signature on at least one copy of the assurances and certifications (Part IV of the application form). It is also important to include the appropriate Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) numeric and alpha in Item #3 on ED Form 424 (e.g., CFDA No. 84.324B, or 84.324W).

Please note the following:

- **MAXIMUM AWARD AMOUNT.** In addition to providing detailed budget information for the total grant period requested, all the competitions included in this package have maximum award amounts. This means that the Department **will reject and will not consider any application that proposes a budget exceeding the maximum award amount for any single budget period of 12 months.** Please refer to the specific information for each priority/competition to which you are submitting an application (i.e., Section C of this package). Please be advised that for the priorities in this package, the maximum award amounts cover all project costs including indirect costs.
- **STRICT PAGE LIMITS.** All of the competitions included in this package limit the Part III Application Narrative to a specified number of double-spaced pages. This page limitation applies to all material presented in the application narrative -- including, for example, any charts, tables, figures, and graphs. (Please refer to the specific requirements on page limits for each priority/competition to which you are submitting an application - i.e., Section C of this package). **The Department will reject, and will NOT consider an application that does not adhere to the page limit requirements for each competition.**
- **FORMAT FOR APPLICATIONS.** Please note that additional information regarding formatting applications has been included on Pages D-2 and 3 of the "General Information on Completing An Application" section of this package.

- **NEW DISCRETIONARY GRANT APPLICATION FORM 424.** The Department has a new application form for grant programs. The new form, ED 424, Application for Federal Education Assistance (OMB No. 1875-0106), replaces the SF-424, Application for Federal Assistance (OMB No. 0348-0043), previously used to apply for funds under Department discretionary and other grant programs. In addition to the name change, the form has three new items. The first item requests applicants to provide their D-U-N-S number, the second item asks applicants to identify whether they are a "novice" applicant, and the third addition requires applicants to indicate whether they plan to conduct research involving human subjects at any time during the proposed project period. In addition, the form has a "Protection of Human Subjects in Research" Attachment. This attachment is an integral part of the new ED 424 form. It includes information that applicants need to complete the protection of human subjects item and, as appropriate, to provide additional information to the Department regarding human subjects research projects. Additional information on completing the protection of human subjects item is also available and can be accessed on the INTERNET at:

<http://ocfo.ed.gov/grntinfo.htm>

<http://ocfo.ed.gov/humansub.htm>

- **NEW GUIDANCE ON SECTION 427 OF THE GENERAL EDUCATION PROVISIONS ACT (GEPA).** The Department has new guidance for grant applicants regarding the equitable access and equitable participation provision in section 427 of GEPA. The new enclosure, NOTICE TO ALL APPLICANTS (OMB No. 1801-0004 (Exp. 8/31/2001), replaces the old version, NOTICE TO ALL APPLICANTS (OMB No. 18010004 (Exp. 8/31/98), previously included in discretionary grant application packages. Section 427 of GEPA requires all new applicants for Departmental programs to describe in their applications the steps they propose to take to ensure equitable access to, and equitable participation in the project or activity to be conducted with program funds. The descriptions should include the steps that the applicant intends to take to help students, teachers and other beneficiaries overcome barriers to equitable participation in program activities that the applicant would conduct.
- **NEW SELECTION CRITERIA.** The Research to Practice Division of the Office of Special Education Programs has new selection criteria that it uses to evaluate the applications submitted for new grants. The new selection criteria that have been approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), contains selection criteria and factors drawn only from the EDGAR menu according to broad program areas. (Please refer to the specific selection criteria included in this package for each priority/competition to which you are submitting an application.)
- **TREATING A PRIORITY AS TWO SEPARATE COMPETITIONS.** In the past, there have been problems in finding peer reviewers without conflicts of interest where applications are made by many entities throughout the country. The Standing Panel requirements under IDEA '97 have also placed additional constraints on the availability of reviewers. Therefore, the Secretary has determined that, for some discretionary priorities, applications may be ranked and selected for funding in two or more groups, which will

ensure the availability of a much larger group of reviewers without conflicts of interest. This procedure will increase the quality, independence and fairness of the review process and will permit panel members to review applications under discretionary priorities to which they have also submitted applications.

A program officer is available to provide information to you regarding these competitions. Please refer to the name of the program contact at the end of each priority description. For information about other U.S. Department of Education grant and contract opportunities, we encourage you to use the Department's electronic bulletin board and new ED-Net service. The bulletin board data number is (202) 260-9950 and the ED-Net service can be accessed on INTERNET at: gopher.ed.gov

We appreciate your efforts to improve the provision of services for individuals with disabilities.

Sincerely,

Louis C. Danielson, Ph.D.
Director
Research to Practice Division
Office of Special Education
Programs

INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES EDUCATION ACT
APPLICATION NOTICE FOR FISCAL YEAR 2000

CFDA No. and Name	Applications Available	Application Deadline Date	Deadline for Intergovernmental Review	Maximum Award (per year)*	Project Period	Page Limit**	Estimated Number of Awards
84.324B Student Initiated Research Projects	09/13/99	02/04/00	11/13/00	\$20,000	Up to 12 mos.	25	12
84.324C Field Initiated Research Projects	09/13/99	12/10/99	02/08/00	\$180,000	***Up to 60 mos.	50	14
84.324N Initial Career Awards	09/13/99	12/10/99	02/08/00	\$75,000	Up to 36 mos.	30	4
84.324M Model Demonstration Projects for Children with Disabilities	09/13/99	11/29/99	01/28/00	\$150,000	Up to 48 mos.	40	14
84.324R Outreach Projects for Children with Disabilities	09/13/99	12/03/99	02/01/00	\$150,000	Up to 36 mos.	40	21
84.324P Research Institute to Enhance the Role of Special Education and Children with Disabilities in Education Policy Reform	09/13/99	05/19/00	07/18/00	\$700,000	Up to 60 mos.	70	1
84.324W Improving Post-School Outcomes: Identifying and Promoting What Works	09/13/99	11/13/99	01/12/00	\$500,000	Up to 60 mos.	60	1

*The Secretary rejects and does not consider an application that proposes a budget exceeding the amount listed for each priority for any single budget period of 12 months. ** Applicants must limit the Application Narrative, Part III of the Application, to the page limits noted above. Please refer to the "Page Limit" requirements included under each priority in this notice. The Secretary rejects and does not consider an application that does not adhere to this requirement. ***The majority of projects will be funded for up to 36 months. Only in exceptional circumstances will projects be funded for more than 36 months, up to a maximum of 60 months.

PRIORITY DESCRIPTION
AND
SELECTION CRITERIA
FOR THE
RESEARCH AND INNOVATION
TO IMPROVE SERVICES AND RESULTS FOR
CHILDREN WITH DISABILITIES PROGRAM

STUDENT INITIATED RESEARCH PROJECTS
(CFDA 84.324B)

DEADLINE: 02/04/00

ABSOLUTE PRIORITY:

This priority provides support for short-term (up to 12 months) postsecondary student-initiated research projects focusing on special education and related services for children with disabilities and early intervention services for infants and toddlers, consistent with the purposes of the program, as described in Section 672 of the Act.

Projects must --

- (a) Develop research skills in postsecondary students; and
- (b) Include a principal investigator who serves as a mentor to the student researcher while the project is carried out by the student.

Competitive Preference:

Within this absolute priority, we will give the following competitive preference under section 606 of IDEA and 34 CFR 75.105(c)(2)(i), to applications that are otherwise eligible for funding under this priority:

Up to ten (10) points based on the extent to which an application includes effective strategies for employing and advancing in employment qualified individuals with disabilities in projects awarded under this absolute priority. In determining the effectiveness of such strategies, the Secretary will consider the applicant's success, as described in the application, in employing and advancing in employment qualified individuals with disabilities in the project.

For purposes of this competitive preference, applicants can be awarded up to a total of 10 points in addition to those awarded under the published selection criteria for this priority. That is, an applicant meeting this competitive preference could earn a maximum total of 110 points.

PROJECT PERIOD: Up to 12 months.

MAXIMUM AWARD: The Secretary rejects and does not consider an application that proposes a budget exceeding \$20,000 for any single budget period of 12 months. The Secretary may change the maximum amount through a notice published in the Federal Register.

PAGE LIMITS: The maximum page limit for this priority is 25 double-spaced pages.

Note: Applications must meet the required page limit standards that are described in the "General Requirements" section of this notice.

GENERAL REQUIREMENTS:

(a) Projects funded under this notice must make positive efforts to employ and advance in employment qualified individuals with disabilities in project activities (see Section 606 of IDEA);

(b) Applicants and grant recipients funded under this notice must involve individuals with disabilities or parents of individuals with disabilities in planning, implementing, and evaluating the projects (see Section 661(f)(1)(A) of IDEA);

(c) Projects funded under these priorities must budget for a two-day Project Directors' meeting in Washington, D.C. during each year of the project; and

(d) In a single application, an applicant is required to address only one absolute priority in this notice.

(e) Part III of each application submitted under a priority in this notice, the application narrative, is where an applicant addresses the selection criteria that are used by reviewers in evaluating the application. An applicant must limit Part III to the equivalent of no more than the number of pages listed in the "Page Limits" section under the applicable priority in this notice. An applicant must use the following standards: (1) A "page" is 8½" x 11" (on one side only) with one-inch margins (top, bottom, and sides). (2) All text in the application narrative, including titles, headings, footnotes, quotations, references, and captions, as well as all text in charts, tables, figures, and graphs, must be double-spaced (no more than 3 lines per vertical inch). If using a proportional computer font, use no smaller than a 12-point font, and an average character density no greater than 18 characters per inch. If using a nonproportional font or a typewriter, do not use more than 12 characters to the inch.

The page limit does not apply to Part I - the cover sheet; Part II - the budget section (including the narrative budget justification); Part IV - the assurances and certifications; or the one-page abstract, resumes, bibliography, and letters of support. However, all of the application narrative must be included in Part III. If an application narrative uses a smaller print size, spacing, or margin that would make the narrative exceed the equivalent of the page limit, the application will not be considered for funding.

Note: The Department of Education is not bound by any estimates in this notice.

APPLICABLE REGULATIONS: (a) The Education Department General Administrative Regulations (EDGAR) in 34 CFR parts 74, 75, 77, 79, 80, 81, 82, 85, 86, and 97; (b) The selection criteria for the priorities under this program are drawn from the EDGAR general selection menu. The specific selection criteria for each priority are included in the funding application packet for the applicable competition. Information collection resulting from this notice has been submitted to OMB for review under the Paperwork Reduction Act and has been approved under control number 1820-0028, expiration date July 31, 2000.

Note: The regulations in 34 CFR part 86 apply to institutions of higher education only.

ELIGIBLE APPLICANTS: State and local educational agencies; institutions of higher education; other public agencies; private nonprofit organizations; outlying areas; freely associated States; and Indian tribes or tribal organizations.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Student-Initiated Research Projects priority was begun in 1974. The projects funded under this priority reflect a broad range of target populations, academic areas, educational philosophies, and types of research design. In recent years, awards have been for amounts ranging from \$5,000 to \$20,000 and have included the cost of such items as data collection, data analysis, travel, materials, necessary equipment, communications, and report preparation. Awards have not included salary or stipend support for the student or fees to paid members of the student's committee or to faculty members at the university where the student was in attendance.

Since a student's tenure at the university and ability to complete a research project depend on many factors in addition to research competence, it is recommended that the applicant institution list a member of the faculty or other employee as principal investigator and list the student as student investigator for the project.

It is helpful to note whether the proposed research is expected to serve as the student's thesis or dissertation. Acceptance of the study report by the student's committee, however, does not necessarily fulfill the requirements of this office. By the same token, the Office of Special Education Program's acceptance of the final report of the project should not necessarily influence the deliberations of the student's committee.

For further information about this priority contact:

***Dr. Grace Zamora Duran , Competition Manager
Research to Practice Division
Office of Special Education Programs
Telephone: (202) 401-2997
FAX: (202) 205-8971
Grace_Duran@ed.gov
TDD: (202) 205-8953***

FIELD-INITIATED RESEARCH PROJECTS
(CFDA 84.324C)

DEADLINE: 12/10/99

ABSOLUTE PRIORITY:

This priority provides support for a wide range of field-initiated research projects that support innovation, development, exchange, and use of advancements in knowledge and practice as described in Section 672 of the Act including the improvement of early intervention, instruction, and learning for infants, toddlers, and children with disabilities.

Projects must –

- (a) Prepare their procedures, findings, and conclusions in a manner that informs other interested researchers and is useful for advancing professional practice or improving programs and services to infants, toddlers, and children with disabilities and their families; and
- (b) Disseminate project procedures, findings, and conclusions to appropriate research institutes and technical assistance providers.

Invitational Priorities:

Within absolute priority 2 for FY 2000, we are particularly interested in applications that meet one or more of the following invitational priorities.

- (a) Projects to address the specific problems of over-identification and under-identification of children with disabilities. (See section 672(a)(3) of the Act).
- (b) Projects to develop and implement effective strategies for addressing inappropriate behavior of students with disabilities in schools, including strategies to prevent children with emotional and behavioral problems from developing emotional disturbances that require the provision of special education and related services. (See section 672(a)(4) of the Act).
- (c) Projects studying and promoting improved alignment and compatibility of general and special education reforms concerned with curriculum and instruction, evaluation and accountability, and administrative procedures. (See section 672(b)(2)(D) of the Act).

(d) Projects that advance knowledge about the coordination of education with health and social services. (See section 672(b)(2)(G) of the Act).

Under 34 CFR 75.105(c)(1) we do not give to an application that meets one or more of these invitational priorities a competitive or absolute preference over other applications.

Competitive Preference:

Within this absolute priority, we will give the following competitive preference under section 606 of IDEA and 34 CFR 75.105(c)(2)(i), to applications that are otherwise eligible for funding under this priority:

Up to ten (10) points based on the extent to which an application includes effective strategies for employing and advancing in employment qualified individuals with disabilities in projects awarded under this absolute priority. In determining the effectiveness of such strategies, the Secretary will consider the applicant's success, as described in the application, in employing and advancing in employment qualified individuals with disabilities in the project

For purposes of this competitive preference, applicants can be awarded up to a total of 10 points in addition to those awarded under the published selection criteria for this priority. That is, an applicant meeting this competitive preference could earn a maximum total of 110 points.

PROJECT PERIOD: The majority of projects will be funded for up to 36 months. Only in exceptional circumstances -- such as research questions that require repeated measurement within a longitudinal design -- will projects be funded for more than 36 months, up to a maximum of 60 months.

MAXIMUM AWARD: The Secretary rejects and does not consider an application that proposes a budget exceeding \$180,000 for any single budget period of 12 months. The Secretary may change the maximum amount through a notice published in the Federal Register.

PAGE LIMITS: The maximum page limit for this priority is 50 double-spaced pages.

Note: Applications must meet the required page limit standards that are described in the “General Requirements” section of this notice.

GENERAL REQUIREMENTS:

(a) Projects funded under this notice must make positive efforts to employ and advance in employment qualified individuals with disabilities in project activities (see Section 606 of IDEA);

(b) Applicants and grant recipients funded under this notice must involve individuals with disabilities or parents of individuals with disabilities in planning, implementing, and evaluating the projects (see Section 661(f)(1)(A) of IDEA);

(c) Projects funded under these priorities must budget for a two-day Project Directors’ meeting in Washington, D.C. during each year of the project; and

(d) In a single application, an applicant is required to address only one absolute priority in this notice.

(e) Part III of each application submitted under a priority in this notice, the application narrative, is where an applicant addresses the selection criteria that are used by reviewers in evaluating the application. An applicant must limit Part III to the equivalent of no more than the number of pages listed in the “Page Limits” section under the applicable priority in this notice. An applicant must use the following standards: (1) A “page” is 8½" x 11" (on one side only) with one-inch margins (top, bottom, and sides). (2) All text in the application narrative, including titles, headings, footnotes, quotations, references, and captions, as well as all text in charts, tables, figures, and graphs, must be double-spaced (no more than 3 lines per vertical inch). If using a proportional computer font, use no smaller than a 12-point font, and an average character density no greater than 18 characters per inch. If using a nonproportional font or a typewriter, do not use more than 12 characters to the inch.

The page limit does not apply to Part I - the cover sheet; Part II - the budget section (including the narrative budget justification); Part IV - the assurances and certifications; or the one-page abstract, resumes, bibliography, and letters of support. However, all of the application narrative must be included in Part III. If an application narrative uses a smaller print size, spacing, or margin that would make the narrative exceed the equivalent of the page limit, the application will not be considered for funding.

Note: The Department of Education is not bound by any estimates in this notice.

APPLICABLE REGULATIONS: (a) The Education Department General Administrative Regulations (EDGAR) in 34 CFR parts 74, 75, 77, 79, 80, 81, 82, 85, 86, and 97; (b) The selection criteria for the priorities under this program are drawn from the EDGAR general selection menu. The specific selection criteria for each priority are included in the funding application packet for the applicable competition. Information collection resulting from this notice has been submitted to OMB for review under the Paperwork Reduction Act and has been approved under control number 1820-0028, expiration date July 31, 2000.

Note: The regulations in 34 CFR part 86 apply to institutions of higher education only.

ELIGIBLE APPLICANTS: State and local educational agencies; institutions of higher education; other public agencies; private nonprofit organizations; outlying areas; freely associated States; and Indian tribes or tribal organizations.

For further information about this priority contact:

Tom V. Hanley, Competition Manager
Research to Practice Division
Office of Special Education Programs
Telephone: (202) 205-8110
FAX: (202) 205-8105
Internet: Tom_Hanley@ed.gov
TDD: (202) 205-8953

INITIAL CAREER AWARDS

(CFDA 84.324N)

DEADLINE: 12/10/99

ABSOLUTE PRIORITY:

BACKGROUND: There is a need to enable individuals in the initial phases of their careers to initiate and develop promising lines of research that would improve early intervention services for infants and toddlers, and special education and related services for children with disabilities. Support for research activities among individuals in the initial phases of their careers is intended to develop the capacity of the special education research community. This priority would address the additional need to provide support for a broad range of field-initiated research projects -- focusing on the special education and related services for children with disabilities and early intervention for infants and toddlers -- consistent with the purpose of the program as described in Section 672 of the Act.

PRIORITY: The Secretary establishes an absolute priority for the purpose of awarding grants to eligible applicants for the support of individuals in the initial phases of their careers to initiate and develop promising lines of research consistent with the purposes of the program. For purposes of this priority, the initial phase of an individual's career is considered to be the first three years after completing a doctoral program and graduating (e.g., for fiscal year 2000 awards, projects may support individuals who completed a doctoral program and graduated no earlier than the 1996-1997 academic year).

Projects must --

(a) Pursue a line of inquiry that reflects a programmatic strand of research emanating either from theory or a conceptual framework. The line of research must be evidenced by a series of related questions that establish directions for designing future studies extending beyond the support of this award. The project is not intended to represent all inquiry related to the particular theory or conceptual framework; rather, it is expected to initiate a new line or advance an existing one;

(b) In addition to involving individuals with disabilities or parents of individuals with disabilities in planning, implementing, and evaluating the project, as required by the Act, include, in design and conduct, sustained involvement with one or more nationally recognized experts having substantive or methodological knowledge and expertise relevant to the proposed research. The experts do not have to be at the same institution or agency at which the project is located, but the interaction with the project must be sufficient to develop the capacity of the initial career researcher to effectively pursue the research into mid-career activities. At least 50 percent of the researcher's time must be devoted to the project;

(c) Prepare their procedures, findings, and conclusions in a manner that informs other interested researchers and is useful for advancing professional practice or improving programs and services to infants, toddlers, and children with disabilities and their families; and

(d) Disseminate project procedures, findings, and conclusions to appropriate research institutes and technical assistance providers.

Invitational Priority:

Within absolute priority 3 for FY 2000, we are particularly interested in applications that meets the following invitational priority.

Projects that include in the design and conduct of the research project, a practicing teacher or clinician, in addition to the required involvement of nationally recognized experts.

Under 34 CFR 75.105(c)(1) we do not give to an application that meets the priority a competitive or absolute preference over other applications.

Competitive Preference:

Within this absolute priority, we will give the following competitive preference under section 606 of IDEA and 34 CFR 75.105(c)(2)(i), to applications that are otherwise eligible for funding under this priority:

Up to ten (10) points based on the extent to which an application includes effective strategies for employing and advancing in employment qualified individuals with disabilities in projects awarded under this absolute priority. In determining the effectiveness of such strategies, the Secretary will consider the applicant's success, as described in the application, in employing and advancing in employment qualified individuals with disabilities in the project.

For purposes of this competitive preference, applicants can be awarded up to a total of 10 points in addition to those awarded under the published selection criteria for this priority. That is, an applicant meeting this competitive preference could earn a maximum total of 110 points.

PROJECT PERIOD: Up to 36 months.

MAXIMUM AWARD: The Secretary rejects and does not consider an application that proposes a budget exceeding \$75,000 for any single budget period of 12 months. The Secretary may change the maximum amount through a notice published in the Federal Register.

PAGE LIMITS: The maximum page limit for this priority is 30 double-spaced pages.

Note: Applications must meet the required page limit standards that are described in the "General Requirements" section of this notice.

GENERAL REQUIREMENTS:

(a) Projects funded under this notice must make positive efforts to employ and advance in employment qualified individuals with disabilities in project activities (see Section 606 of IDEA);

(b) Applicants and grant recipients funded under this notice must involve individuals with disabilities or parents of individuals with disabilities in planning, implementing, and evaluating the projects (see Section 661(f)(1)(A) of IDEA);

(c) Projects funded under these priorities must budget for a two-day Project Directors' meeting in Washington, D.C. during each year of the project; and

(d) In a single application, an applicant is required to address only one absolute priority in this notice.

(e) Part III of each application submitted under a priority in this notice, the application narrative, is where an applicant addresses the selection criteria that are used by reviewers in evaluating the application. An applicant must limit Part III to the equivalent of no more than the number of pages listed in the "Page Limits" section under the applicable priority in this notice. An applicant must use the following standards: (1) A "page" is 8½" x 11" (on one side only) with one-inch margins (top, bottom, and sides). (2) All text in the application narrative, including titles, headings, footnotes, quotations, references, and captions, as well as all text in charts, tables, figures, and graphs, must be double-spaced (no more than 3 lines per vertical inch). If using a proportional computer font, use no smaller than a 12-point font, and an average character density no greater than 18 characters per inch. If using a nonproportional font or a typewriter, do not use more than 12 characters to the inch.

The page limit does not apply to Part I - the cover sheet; Part II - the budget section (including the narrative budget justification); Part IV - the assurances and certifications; or the one-page abstract, resumes, bibliography, and letters of support. However, all of the application narrative must be included in Part III. If an application narrative uses a smaller print size, spacing, or margin that would make the narrative exceed the equivalent of the page limit, the application will not be considered for funding.

Note: The Department of Education is not bound by any estimates in this notice.

APPLICABLE REGULATIONS: (a) The Education Department General Administrative Regulations (EDGAR) in 34 CFR parts 74, 75, 77, 79, 80, 81, 82, 85, 86, and 97; (b) The selection criteria for the priorities under this program are drawn from the EDGAR general selection menu. The specific selection criteria for each priority are included in the funding application packet for the applicable competition. Information collection resulting from this notice has been submitted to OMB for review under the Paperwork Reduction Act and has been approved under control number 1820-0028, expiration date July 31, 2000.

Note: The regulations in 34 CFR part 86 apply to institutions of higher education only.

ELIGIBLE APPLICANTS: State and local educational agencies; institutions of higher education; other public agencies; private nonprofit organizations; outlying areas; freely associated States; and Indian tribes or tribal organizations.

For further information about this priority contact:

Susan-Marie Marsh, Competition Manager

Research to Practice Division

Office of Special Education Programs

Telephone: (202) 358-2796

FAX: (202) 205-3076

Internet: Susan-Marie_Marsh@ed.gov

TDD: (202) 205-8953

**RESEARCH INSTITUTE TO ENHANCE THE ROLE OF SPECIAL
EDUCATION AND CHILDREN WITH DISABILITIES IN EDUCATION
POLICY REFORM**
(CFDA 84.324P)

DEADLINE: 05/09/00

ABSOLUTE PRIORITY:

Education reforms are often leveraged through enhanced accountability for students outcomes, school improvement, and personnel performance. Findings from the Center for Policy Research on the Impact of General and Special Education Reform indicate that inclusion of students with disabilities in these general accountability efforts is one of the major forces shaping reform of special education. IDEA reflects an increased emphasis on including students with disabilities in accountability systems by requiring their participation in general State and district-wide assessments. IDEA also requires States to establish indicators to use in assessing progress toward achieving goals that address the performance of children with disabilities on assessments, drop-out rates, and graduation rates.

PRIORITY:

The Secretary establishes an absolute priority for a research institute to study the role of special education and children with disabilities in educational policy reform, specifically initiatives designed to improve student performance through increased accountability. A project funded under this priority must --

(a) Identify and review critical gaps in the current knowledge in the following areas:

(1) How broad education policy reforms that incorporate high-stakes accountability mechanisms include consideration of children with disabilities;

(2) The criteria for which special education has historically been held accountable and how these criteria have been assessed;

(3) How traditional education accountability mechanisms at both the systems level (e.g., State improvement planning and compliance monitoring, due process, and judicial resolution) and the individual child or student level (e.g., large-scale assessments provided with accommodations, alternate assessments, individualized education programs, individualized family services plans) have impacted outcomes for children with disabilities;

(4) How students with disabilities are affected by the recent large-scale, high stakes State and national accountability-based education policy reforms (e.g., State and district assessments, enhanced graduation and exiting requirements, governance and professional preparation and development reforms and other standards-based reform initiatives), including consideration of

developed models of inclusive special education accountability (e.g., models developed by the National Association of State Directors of Special Education and the National Center for Educational Outcomes); and

(5) How changes and reforms in special education might better align with and support such large-scale, high stakes State and national accountability-based education policy reforms.

(b) In consultation with the Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP), design and conduct a strategic program of research that addresses knowledge gaps identified in paragraph (a) by:

(1) Conducting a rigorous research program that builds upon recent and current research on broad education policy reforms that incorporate high-stakes accountability mechanisms, including research by the recent Center for Policy Research on the Impact of General and Special Education Reform;

(2) Using a variety of methodologies designed to comprehensively examine the breadth of accountability mechanisms including how such mechanisms impact academic, functional, vocational, social, emotional and other outcomes for children and youth with disabilities;

(3) Conducting the program of research in settings that ensure that the impact of accountability-based education policy reforms on disabled minority, rural, low income, urban, limited English proficiency, immigrant, and migrant populations, will be examined; and

(4) Collaborating with other research institutions and studies and evaluations supported under IDEA, including the national assessment of special education activities (Section 674(b) of IDEA).

(c) Design, implement, and evaluate a dissemination approach that links research to practice and promotes the use of current knowledge and ongoing research findings. This approach must:

(1) Develop linkages with Education Department technical assistance providers including the IDEA Linking Partnership technical assistance projects supported by OSEP to communicate research findings and distribute products; and

(2) Prepare the research findings and products from the project in formats that are useful for specific audiences, including general education researchers; and local, State, and national policymakers; as well as education practitioners.

(d) Fund at least five graduate students per year as research assistants who have concentrations in either education policy or disability issues.

(e) Meet with the OSEP project officer in the first four months of the project to review the program of research and dissemination approaches.

(f) In addition to the annual two-day Project Directors' meeting in Washington, D.C. listed in the "General Requirements" section of this notice, budget for another annual two-day trip to Washington, D.C. to collaborate with the OSEP project officer by sharing information and discussing implementation and dissemination issues.

In deciding whether to continue this project for the fourth and fifth years, the Secretary, will consider the requirements of 34 CFR 75.253(a), and in addition --

(a) The recommendation of a review team consisting of three experts selected by the Secretary. The services of the review team, including a two-day site visit to the grantee, are to be performed during the last half of the project's second year and may be included in that year's evaluation required under 34 CFR 75.590. Costs associated with the services to be performed by the review team must also be included in the project's budget for year two. These costs are estimated to be approximately \$6,000;

(b) The timeliness and effectiveness with which all requirements of the negotiated cooperative agreement have been or are being met by the project; and

(c) The degree to which the project's design and methodology demonstrates the potential for advancing significant new knowledge.

Under this priority, the Secretary will make one award for a cooperative agreement with a project period of up to 60 months subject to the requirements of 34 CFR 75.253(a) for continuation awards.

Competitive Preference:

Within this absolute priority, we will give the following competitive preference under section 606 of IDEA and 34 CFR 75.105(c)(2)(i), to applications that are otherwise eligible for funding under this priority:

Up to ten (10) points based on the extent to which an application includes effective strategies for employing and advancing in employment qualified individuals with disabilities in projects awarded under this absolute priority. In determining the effectiveness of such strategies, the Secretary will consider the applicant's success, as described in the application, in employing and advancing in employment qualified individuals with disabilities in the project.

For purposes of this competitive preference, applicants can be awarded up to a total of 10 points in addition to those awarded under the published selection criteria for this priority. That is, an applicant meeting this competitive preference could earn a maximum total of 110 points.

PROJECT PERIOD: Up to 60 months.

MAXIMUM AWARD: The Secretary rejects and does not consider an application that proposes a budget exceeding \$700,000 for any single budget period of 12 months. The Secretary may change the maximum amounts through a notice published in the Federal Register.

PAGE LIMITS: The maximum page limit for this priority is 70 double-spaced pages.

Note: Applications must meet the required page limit standards that are described in the “General Requirements” section of this notice.

GENERAL REQUIREMENTS:

(a) Projects funded under this notice must make positive efforts to employ and advance in employment qualified individuals with disabilities in project activities (see Section 606 of IDEA);

(b) Applicants and grant recipients funded under this notice must involve individuals with disabilities or parents of individuals with disabilities in planning, implementing, and evaluating the projects (see Section 661(f)(1)(A) of IDEA);

(c) Projects funded under these priorities must budget for a two-day Project Directors’ meeting in Washington, D.C. during each year of the project; and

(d) In a single application, an applicant is required to address only one absolute priority in this notice.

(e) Part III of each application submitted under a priority in this notice, the application narrative, is where an applicant addresses the selection criteria that are used by reviewers in evaluating the application. An applicant must limit Part III to the equivalent of no more than the number of pages listed in the “Page Limits” section under the applicable priority in this notice. An applicant must use the following standards: (1) A “page” is 8½" x 11" (on one side only) with one-inch margins (top, bottom, and sides). (2) All text in the application narrative, including titles, headings, footnotes, quotations, references, and captions, as well as all text in charts, tables, figures, and graphs, must be double-spaced (no more than 3 lines per vertical inch). If using a proportional computer font, use no smaller than a 12-point font, and an average character density no greater than 18 characters per inch. If using a nonproportional font or a typewriter, do not use more than 12 characters to the inch.

The page limit does not apply to Part I - the cover sheet; Part II - the budget section (including the narrative budget justification); Part IV - the assurances and certifications; or the one-page abstract, resumes, bibliography, and letters of support. However, all of the application narrative must be included in Part III. If an application narrative uses a smaller print size, spacing, or margin that would make the narrative exceed the equivalent of the page limit, the application will not be considered for funding.

Note: The Department of Education is not bound by any estimates in this notice.

APPLICABLE REGULATIONS: (a) The Education Department General Administrative Regulations (EDGAR) in 34 CFR parts 74, 75, 77, 79, 80, 81, 82, 85, 86, and 97; (b) The selection criteria for the priorities under this program are drawn from the EDGAR general selection menu. The specific selection criteria for each priority are included in the funding application packet for the applicable competition. Information collection resulting from this notice has been submitted to OMB for review under the Paperwork Reduction Act and has been approved under control number 1820-0028, expiration date July 31, 2000.

Note: The regulations in 34 CFR part 86 apply to institutions of higher education only.

ELIGIBLE APPLICANTS: State and local educational agencies; institutions of higher education; other public agencies; private nonprofit organizations; outlying areas; freely associated States; and Indian tribes or tribal organizations.

For further information about this priority contact:

***Kelly Henderson, Competition Manager
Research to Practice Division
Office of Special Education Programs
Telephone: (202) 205-8598
FAX: (202) 205-8971
Internet: Kelly_Henderson@ed.gov
TDD: (202) 205-8953***

IMPROVING POST-SCHOOL OUTCOMES: IDENTIFYING AND PROMOTING WHAT WORKS

(CFDA 84.324W)

DEADLINE: 11/13/99

ABSOLUTE PRIORITY:

BACKGROUND: With the passage of the Education of the Handicapped Act Amendments of 1983, a Federal initiative was begun to assist high school youth with disabilities in achieving their goals for adult life, including postsecondary education, continuing education, competitive employment, and independent living. This initiative has continued to be defined and developed in legislation, research and practice; and to a large extent, has been the impetus for the shift in special education from an emphasis on process to one of achieving better results for children with disabilities. The Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) has funded approximately 500 secondary transition, postsecondary education, and dropout prevention and intervention projects since 1984 to develop, refine, and validate effective programs and practices.

The purpose of this priority is to fund one project that will --

- (a) Synthesize the professional literature on improving academic results, secondary transition practice, postsecondary educational supports, and dropout prevention and intervention;
- (b) Analyze important features, findings and outcomes of projects in these areas, including but not limited to, projects funded by OSEP, the Rehabilitation Services Administration (RSA), the Office of Postsecondary Education (OPE), the Office of Vocational and Adult Education (OVAE) and the National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research (NIDRR); and
- (c) Summarize, proactively disseminate, and publicize the results of the synthesis and analysis in an effort to inform policy and practice.

PRIORITY: The Secretary establishes an absolute priority to support a project that will identify and promote effective policy and practice that will improve results for secondary-aged youth and young adults with disabilities. At a minimum, this project must --

- (a) Synthesize the extant professional knowledge base in each of four areas:
 - improving academic results
 - secondary transition practice
 - postsecondary educational supports, and
 - dropout prevention and intervention, including factors associated with early school exit for students with disabilities. Each synthesis must:

(1) Develop a conceptual framework around which research questions will be posed and the synthesis conducted. Develop these research questions with input from potential consumers of the synthesis to enhance the usability and validity of the findings. Consumers include technical assistance providers, policymakers, educators, other relevant practitioners, individuals with disabilities, and parents;

(2) Identify and implement rigorous social science methods for synthesizing the professional knowledge base (including but not limited to, integrative reviews (Cooper, 1982), best-evidence synthesis (Slavin, 1989), meta-analysis (Glass, 1977), multi-vocal approach (Ogawa & Malen, 1991), and National Institute of Mental Health consensus development program (Huberman, 1977));

(3) Implement procedures for locating and organizing the extant literature and ensure that these procedures address and guard against potential threats to the integrity of each synthesis, including the generalization of findings;

(4) Establish criteria and procedures for judging the appropriateness of each synthesis;

(5) Meet with OSEP to review the project's methodological approach for conducting the synthesis prior to initiating the synthesis;

(6) Analyze and interpret the professional knowledge base, including identification of general trends in the literature, points of consensus and conflict among the findings, and areas of evidence where the literature base is lacking. The interpretation of the literature base must address the contributions of the findings for improving policy, transition practice and drop out prevention and intervention, and research priorities in the four focus areas; and

(7) Submit a draft report of the synthesis in each of the focus areas, and based on reviews by OSEP staff and potential consumers, revise and submit a final report.

(b) Conduct an analysis to identify effective approaches and practices of the important features, findings and outcomes of projects (including, but not limited to, projects funded by OSEP, RSA, NIDRR, OVAE and OPE) in each of four areas:

--improving academic results
--secondary transition practice
--postsecondary educational supports, and
--dropout prevention and intervention, incorporating the following activities in each analysis:

(1) Identify the relevant projects for each analysis. Describe and implement procedures for locating and organizing relevant information on the individual projects, including sampling techniques, if appropriate;

(2) Articulate a research-based conceptual framework to guide the selection of variables to be examined within and across projects, including demographics, target population, purpose,

activities, outcomes, and barriers. Pose research questions around which the analysis will be conducted. Develop these research questions with input from potential consumers of the information to enhance the usability and validity of the research findings. Consumers include technical assistance providers, policymakers, educators, other relevant practitioners, individuals with disabilities, and parents;

(3) Identify and implement rigorous methods for conducting each analysis;

(4) Meet with OSEP to review the project's research questions and methodological approach for conducting the analysis prior to initiation;

(5) Analyze and interpret the findings of the analysis, including similarities and differences among project goals, activities, staffing and costs; points of consensus and conflict among the findings or outcomes of the demonstrations, and the characteristics of model programs that hold significant promise for the field based upon outcome data. In addition, the analysis must link to the synthesis on this topic and provide direction for future policy formulation, practice implementation, and research priorities; and

(6) Submit a draft report of the analysis in each of the focus areas, and based on reviews by OSEP staff and potential consumers, revise and submit a final report.

(c) Summarize, proactively disseminate, and publicize the results of this analysis to inform policy and practice, incorporating the following activities into the project design:

(1) Develop and implement a communication plan that includes the types of products to be created, proposed audiences, procedures for adapting the form and content of the products based upon the audience or audiences, vehicles for dissemination, and timelines. In particular, address how the project will provide updated information at regular intervals to each of the following audiences: OSERS-funded technical assistance and dissemination projects, the Parent Training and Information Centers; and the State Improvement program grantees. The project may propose collaborative dissemination activities with one or more of these projects; and

(2) Meet with OSEP to review the project's communication plan prior to implementation.

In addition to the annual two-day Project Directors' meeting in Washington, D.C. listed in the "General Requirements" section of this notice, projects must budget for another meeting each year in Washington, D.C. with OSEP to share information and discuss project implementation issues.

In deciding whether to continue this project for the fourth and fifth years, the Secretary, will consider the requirements of 34 CFR 75.253(a), and in addition --

(a) The recommendation of a review team consisting of three experts selected by the Secretary. The services of the review team, including a two-day site visit to the grantee, are to be performed during the last half of the project's second year and may be included in that year's evaluation required under 34 CFR 75.590. Costs associated with the services to be performed by

the review team must also be included in the project's budget for year two. These costs are estimated to be approximately \$6,000;

(b) The timeliness and effectiveness with which all requirements of the negotiated cooperative agreement have been or are being met by the project; and

(c) The degree to which the project's design and methodology demonstrates the potential for advancing significant new knowledge.

Under this priority, the Secretary will make one award for a cooperative agreement with a project period of up to 60 months subject to the requirements of 34 CFR 75.253(a) for continuation awards.

Competitive Preference:

Within this absolute priority, we will give the following competitive preference under section 606 of IDEA and 34 CFR 75.105(c)(2)(i), to applications that are otherwise eligible for funding under this priority:

Up to ten (10) points based on the extent to which an application includes effective strategies for employing and advancing in employment qualified individuals with disabilities in projects awarded under this absolute priority. In determining the effectiveness of such strategies, the Secretary will consider the applicant's success, as described in the application, in employing and advancing in employment qualified individuals with disabilities in the project.

For purposes of this competitive preference, applicants can be awarded up to a total of 10 points in addition to those awarded under the published selection criteria for this priority. That is, an applicant meeting this competitive preference could earn a maximum total of 110 points.

PROJECT PERIOD: Up to 60 months.

MAXIMUM AWARD: The Secretary rejects and does not consider an application that proposes a budget exceeding \$500,000 for any single budget period of 12 months. The Secretary may change the maximum amount through a notice published in the Federal Register.

PAGE LIMITS: The maximum page limit for this priority is 60 double-spaced pages.

Note: Applications must meet the required page limit standards that are described in the "General Requirements" section of this notice.

GENERAL REQUIREMENTS:

(a) Projects funded under this notice must make positive efforts to employ and advance in employment qualified individuals with disabilities in project activities (see Section 606 of IDEA);

(b) Applicants and grant recipients funded under this notice must involve individuals with disabilities or parents of individuals with disabilities in planning, implementing, and evaluating the projects (see Section 661(f)(1)(A) of IDEA);

(c) Projects funded under these priorities must budget for a two-day Project Directors' meeting in Washington, D.C. during each year of the project; and

(d) In a single application, an applicant is required to address only one absolute priority in this notice.

(e) Part III of each application submitted under a priority in this notice, the application narrative, is where an applicant addresses the selection criteria that are used by reviewers in evaluating the application. An applicant must limit Part III to the equivalent of no more than the number of pages listed in the "Page Limits" section under the applicable priority in this notice. An applicant must use the following standards: (1) A "page" is 8½" x 11" (on one side only) with one-inch margins (top, bottom, and sides). (2) All text in the application narrative, including titles, headings, footnotes, quotations, references, and captions, as well as all text in charts, tables, figures, and graphs, must be double-spaced (no more than 3 lines per vertical inch). If using a proportional computer font, use no smaller than a 12-point font, and an average character density no greater than 18 characters per inch. If using a nonproportional font or a typewriter, do not use more than 12 characters to the inch.

The page limit does not apply to Part I - the cover sheet; Part II - the budget section (including the narrative budget justification); Part IV - the assurances and certifications; or the one-page abstract, resumes, bibliography, and letters of support. However, all of the application narrative must be included in Part III. If an application narrative uses a smaller print size, spacing, or margin that would make the narrative exceed the equivalent of the page limit, the application will not be considered for funding.

Note: The Department of Education is not bound by any estimates in this notice.

APPLICABLE REGULATIONS: (a) The Education Department General Administrative Regulations (EDGAR) in 34 CFR parts 74, 75, 77, 79, 80, 81, 82, 85, 86, and 97; (b) The selection criteria for the priorities under this program are drawn from the EDGAR general selection menu. The specific selection criteria for each priority are included in the funding application packet for the applicable competition. Information collection resulting from this notice has been submitted to OMB for review under the Paperwork Reduction Act and has been approved under control number 1820-0028, expiration date July 31, 2000.

Note: The regulations in 34 CFR part 86 apply to institutions of higher education only.

ELIGIBLE APPLICANTS: State and local educational agencies; institutions of higher education; other public agencies; private nonprofit organizations; outlying areas; freely associated States; and Indian tribes or tribal organizations.

For further information about this priority contact:

*Selete Avoke, Competition Manager
Research to Practice Division
Office of Special Education Programs
Telephone: (202) 205-8157
FAX: (202) 205-3076
Internet: Selete_Avoke@ed.gov
TDD: (202) 205-8953*

SELECTION CRITERIA AND FORMAT FOR THE STUDENT-INITIATED RESEARCH PROJECTS (CFDA 84.324B); FIELD-INITIATED RESEARCH PROJECTS (CFDA 84.324C); INITIAL CAREER AWARDS (CFDA 84.324N); RESEARCH INSTITUTE TO ENHANCE THE ROLE OF SPECIAL EDUCATION AND CHILDREN WITH DISABILITIES IN EDUCATION POLICY REFORM (CFDA 84.324P); AND IMPROVING POST-SCHOOL OUTCOMES: IDENTIFYING AND PROMOTING WHAT WORKS (CFDA 84.324W) COMPETITIONS

Part III of the application form requires a narrative that addresses the selection criteria that will be used by reviewers in evaluating individual proposals. Applications are more likely to receive favorable reviews by panels when they are organized according to the format suggested below. This format was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER as an appendix to the program regulations, and it addresses all the selection criteria used to evaluate applications required by regulations. If you prefer to use a different format, you may wish to cross-reference the sections of your application to the selection criteria to be sure that reviewers are able to find all relevant information.

The selection criteria that will be used to evaluate applications submitted to the Student-Initiated Research Projects (CFDA 84.324B); Field-Initiated Research Projects (CFDA 84.324C); Initial Career Awards (CFDA 84.324N); Research Institute To Enhance The Role Of Special Education And Children With Disabilities In Education Policy Reform (CFDA 84.324P); and Improving Post-School Outcomes: Identifying And Promoting What Works (CFDA 84.324W) competitions are the selection criteria for new grants required by the EDGAR general selection criteria menu. The maximum score for all of the criteria is 100 points.

A one-page **abstract** should precede the application narrative. The application narrative should include the following sections in this order:

(a) Significance (20 points)

- (1) The Secretary considers the significance of the proposed project.
- (2) In determining the significance of the proposed project, the Secretary considers the following factors:
 - (i) The significance of the problem or issue to be addressed by the proposed project;
 - (ii) The potential contribution of the proposed project to increase knowledge or understanding of educational problems, issues, or effective strategies;
 - (iii) The potential contribution of the proposed project to the development and advancement of theory, knowledge, and practices in the field of study; and
 - (iv) The extent to which the results of the proposed project are to be disseminated in ways

that will enable others to use the information or strategies.

(b) Quality of the project design (35 points)

(1) The Secretary considers the quality of the design of the proposed project.

(2) In determining the quality of the design of the proposed project, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(i) The extent to which there is a conceptual framework underlying the proposed research or demonstration activities and the quality of that framework;

(ii) The extent to which the proposed research design includes a thorough, high-quality review of the relevant literature, a high-quality plan for research activities, and the use of appropriate theoretical and methodological tools, including those of a variety of disciplines, if appropriate;

(iii) The extent to which the proposed project encourages parental involvement; and

(iv) The extent to which the proposed project encourages consumer involvement.

(c) Quality of project personnel (20 points)

(1) The Secretary considers the quality of the personnel who will carry out the proposed project.

(2) In determining the quality of project personnel, the Secretary considers the extent to which the applicant encourages applications for employment from persons who are members of groups that have traditionally been underrepresented based on race, color, national origin, gender, age, or disability.

(3) In addition, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(i) The qualifications, including relevant training and experience, of key project personnel; and

(ii) The qualifications, including relevant training and experience, of project consultants or subcontractors.

(d) Quality of the management plan (15 points)

(1) The Secretary considers the quality of the management plan for the proposed project.

(2) In determining the quality of the management plan for the proposed project, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(i) The adequacy of the management plan to achieve the objectives of the proposed project on time and within budget, including clearly defined responsibilities, timelines, and milestones for accomplishing project tasks;

(ii) The adequacy of procedures for ensuring feedback and continuous improvement in the operation of the proposed project; and

(iii) The extent to which the time commitments of the project director and principal investigator and other key project personnel are appropriate and adequate to meet the objectives of the proposed project.

(e) Adequacy of resources (10 points)

(1) The Secretary considers the adequacy of resources for the proposed project.

(2) In determining the adequacy of resources for the proposed project, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(i) The adequacy of support, including facilities, equipment, supplies, and other resources, from the applicant organization or the lead applicant organization;

(ii) The relevance and demonstrated commitment of each partner in the proposed project to the implementation and success of the project;

(iii) The extent to which the budget is adequate to support the proposed project; and

(iv) The extent to which the costs are reasonable in relation to the objectives, design, and potential significance of the proposed project.

**MODEL DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS FOR CHILDREN WITH
DISABILITIES**
(CFDA 84.324M)

DEADLINE: 11/29/99

ABSOLUTE PRIORITY:

This priority supports model demonstration projects that develop, implement, evaluate, and disseminate new or improved approaches for providing early intervention, special education, and related services to infants, toddlers, and children with disabilities, and students with disabilities who are pursuing post-school employment, postsecondary education or independent living goals. Projects supported under this priority are expected to be major contributors of models or components of models for service providers and for outreach projects funded under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.

REQUIREMENTS FOR ALL DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS:

A model demonstration project must –

- (a) Develop and implement the model with specific components or strategies that are based on theory, research, or evaluation data;
- (b) Evaluate the model by using multiple measures of results to determine the effectiveness of the model and its components or strategies; and
- (c) Produce detailed procedures and materials that would enable others to replicate the model.

Federal financial participation for a project funded under this priority will not exceed 90 percent of the total annual costs of development, operation, and evaluation of the project (see Section 661(f)(2)(A) of IDEA).

In addition to the annual two-day Project Directors' meeting in Washington, D.C. mentioned in the "General Requirements" section of this notice, projects must budget for another meeting in Washington, D.C. to collaborate with the Federal project officer and the other projects funded under this priority, to share information and discuss model development, evaluation, and project implementation issues.

Competitive Preference:

Within this absolute priority, we will give the following competitive preference under section 606 of IDEA and 34 CFR 75.105(c)(2)(i), to applications that are otherwise eligible for funding under this priority:

Up to ten (10) points based on the extent to which an application includes effective strategies for employing and advancing in employment qualified individuals with disabilities in projects awarded under this absolute priority. In determining the effectiveness of such strategies, the Secretary will consider the applicant's success, as described in the application, in employing and advancing in employment qualified individuals with disabilities in the project.

For purposes of this competitive preference, applicants can be awarded up to a total of 10 points in addition to those awarded under the published selection criteria for this priority. That is, an applicant meeting this competitive preference could earn a maximum total of 110 points.

PROJECT PERIOD: Up to 48 months.

MAXIMUM AWARD: The Secretary rejects and does not consider an application that proposes a budget exceeding \$150,000 (exclusive of any matching funds) for any single budget period of 12 months. The Secretary may change the maximum amount through a notice published in the Federal Register.

PAGE LIMIT: The maximum page limit for this priority is 40 double-spaced pages.

Note: Applications must meet the required page limit standards that are described in the “General Requirements” section of this notice.

GENERAL REQUIREMENTS:

(a) Projects funded under this notice must make positive efforts to employ and advance in employment qualified individuals with disabilities in project activities (see Section 606 of IDEA);

(b) Applicants and grant recipients funded under this notice must involve individuals with disabilities or parents of individuals with disabilities in planning, implementing, and evaluating the projects (see Section 661(f)(1)(A) of IDEA);

(c) Projects funded under these priorities must budget for a two-day Project Directors’ meeting in Washington, D.C. during each year of the project; and

(d) In a single application, an applicant is required to address only one absolute priority in this notice.

(e) Part III of each application submitted under a priority in this notice, the application narrative, is where an applicant addresses the selection criteria that are used by reviewers in evaluating the application. An applicant must limit Part III to the equivalent of no more than the number of pages listed in the "Page Limits" section under the applicable priority in this notice. An applicant must use the following standards: (1) A "page" is 8½" x 11" (on one side only) with one-inch margins (top, bottom, and sides). (2) All text in the application narrative, including titles, headings, footnotes, quotations, references, and captions, as well as all text in charts, tables, figures, and graphs, must be double-spaced (no more than 3 lines per vertical inch). If using a proportional computer font, use no smaller than a 12-point font, and an average character density no greater than 18 characters per inch. If using a nonproportional font or a typewriter, do not use more than 12 characters to the inch.

The page limit does not apply to Part I - the cover sheet; Part II - the budget section (including the narrative budget justification); Part IV - the assurances and certifications; or the one-page abstract, resumes, bibliography, and letters of support. However, all of the application narrative must be included in Part III. If an application narrative uses a smaller print size, spacing, or margin that would make the narrative exceed the equivalent of the page limit, the application will not be considered for funding.

Note: The Department of Education is not bound by any estimates in this notice.

APPLICABLE REGULATIONS: (a) The Education Department General Administrative Regulations (EDGAR) in 34 CFR parts 74, 75, 77, 79, 80, 81, 82, 85, 86, and 97; (b) The selection criteria for the priorities under this program are drawn from the EDGAR general selection menu. The specific selection criteria for each priority are included in the funding application packet for the applicable competition. Information collection resulting from this notice has been submitted to OMB for review under the Paperwork Reduction Act and has been approved under control number 1820-0028, expiration date July 31, 2000.

Note: The regulations in 34 CFR part 86 apply to institutions of higher education only.

ELIGIBLE APPLICANTS: State and local educational agencies; institutions of higher education; other public agencies; private nonprofit organizations; outlying areas; freely associated States; and Indian tribes or tribal organizations.

For further information about this priority contact:

William Halloran, Competition Manager
Research to Practice Division
Office of Special Education Programs
Telephone: (202) 205-8112
FAX: (202) 205-0376
Internet: William_Halloran@ed.gov
TTD: (202) 205-8953

SELECTION CRITERIA AND FORMAT FOR THE MODEL DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS FOR CHILDREN WITH DISABILITIES (CFDA 84.324M) COMPETITION

Part III of the application form requires a narrative that addresses the selection criteria that will be used by reviewers in evaluating individual proposals. Applications are more likely to receive favorable reviews by panels when they are organized according to the format suggested below. This format was published in the *FEDERAL REGISTER* as an appendix to the program regulations, and it addresses all the selection criteria used to evaluate applications required by regulations. If you prefer to use a different format, you may wish to cross-reference the sections of your application to the selection criteria to be sure that reviewers are able to find all relevant information.

The selection criteria that will be used to evaluate applications submitted to the Model Demonstration Projects for Children with Disabilities (CFDA 84.324M) are the selection criteria for new grants required by the EDGAR general selection criteria menu. The maximum score for all of the criteria is 100 points.

A one-page **abstract** should precede the application narrative. The application narrative should include the following sections in this order:

(a) Significance (20 points)

- (1) The Secretary considers the significance of the proposed project.
- (2) In determining the significance of the proposed project, the Secretary considers the following factors:
 - (i) The national significance of the proposed project;
 - (ii) The potential contribution of the proposed project to increased knowledge or understanding of educational problems, issues, or effective strategies;
 - (iii) The extent to which the proposed project is likely to yield findings that may be utilized by other appropriate agencies and organizations;
 - (iv) The extent to which the proposed project involves the development or demonstration of promising new strategies that build on, or are alternatives to, existing strategies;
 - (v) The likely utility of the products (such as information, materials, processes, or techniques) that will result from the proposed project, including the potential for their being used effectively in a variety of other settings;
 - (vi) The extent to which the results of the proposed project are to be disseminated in ways

that will enable others to use the information or strategies;

(vii) The potential replicability of the proposed project or strategies, including, as appropriate, the potential for implementation in a variety of settings; and

(viii) The importance or magnitude of the results or outcomes likely to be attained by the proposed project.

(b) Quality of the project design (25 points)

(1) The Secretary considers the quality of the design of the proposed project.

(2) In determining the quality of the design of the proposed project, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(i) The extent to which the goals, objectives, and outcomes to be achieved by the proposed project are clearly specified and measurable;

(ii) The extent to which the design of the proposed project is appropriate to, and will successfully address, the needs of the target population or other identified needs;

(iii) The extent to which there is a conceptual framework underlying the proposed research or demonstration activities and the quality of that framework;

(iv) The extent to which the design of the proposed project includes a thorough, high-quality review of the relevant literature, a high-quality plan for project implementation, and the use of appropriate methodological tools to ensure successful achievement of project objectives;

(v) The extent to which the proposed project is designed to build capacity and yield results that will extend beyond the period of Federal financial assistance;

(vi) The extent to which the design of the proposed project reflects up-to-date knowledge from research and effective practice;

(vii) The extent to which the proposed project will be coordinated with similar or related efforts, and with other appropriate community, State, and Federal resources;

(viii) The extent to which the proposed project encourages parental involvement;

(ix) The extent to which the proposed project encourages consumer involvement; and

(x) The extent to which performance feedback and continuous improvement are integral to the design of the proposed project.

(c) Quality of project personnel (20 points)

(1) The Secretary considers the quality of the personnel who will carry out the proposed project.

(2) In determining the quality of project personnel, the Secretary considers the extent to which the applicant encourages applications for employment from persons who are members of groups that have traditionally been underrepresented based on race, color, national origin, gender, age, or disability.

(3) In addition, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(i) The qualifications, including relevant training and experience, of key project personnel; and

(ii) The qualifications, including relevant training and experience, of project consultants or subcontractors.

(d) Quality of the management plan (10 points)

(1) The Secretary considers the quality of the management plan for the proposed project.

(2) In determining the quality of the management plan for the proposed project, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(i) The adequacy of the management plan to achieve the objectives of the proposed project on time and within budget, including clearly defined responsibilities, timelines, and milestones for accomplishing project tasks;

(ii) The adequacy of procedures for ensuring feedback and continuous improvement in the operation of the proposed project;

(iii) The adequacy of mechanisms for ensuring high-quality products and services from the proposed project;

(iv) The extent to which the time commitments of the project director and principal investigator and other key project personnel are appropriate and adequate to meet the objectives of the proposed project; and

(v) How the applicant will ensure that a diversity of perspectives are brought to bear in the operation of the proposed project, including those of parents, teachers, the business community, a variety of disciplinary and professional fields, recipients or beneficiaries of services, or others, as appropriate.

(e) Adequacy of resources (10 points)

(1) The Secretary considers the adequacy of resources for the proposed project.

(2) In determining the adequacy of resources for the proposed project, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(i) The adequacy of support, including facilities, equipment, supplies, and other resources, from the applicant organization or the lead applicant organization;

(ii) The relevance and demonstrated commitment of each partner in the proposed project to the implementation and success of the project;

(iii) The extent to which the budget is adequate to support the proposed project; and

(iv) The extent to which the costs are reasonable in relation to the objectives, design, and potential significance of the proposed project.

(f) Quality of the project evaluation (15 points)

(1) The Secretary considers the quality of the evaluation to be conducted of the proposed project.

(2) In determining the quality of the evaluation, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(i) The extent to which the methods of evaluation are thorough, feasible, and appropriate to the goals, objectives, and outcomes of the proposed project;

(ii) The extent to which the methods of evaluation are appropriate to the context within which the project operates;

(iii) The extent to which the methods of evaluation provide for examining the effectiveness of project implementation strategies;

(iv) The extent to which the methods of evaluation include the use of objective performance measures that are clearly related to the intended outcomes of the project and will produce quantitative and qualitative data to the extent possible; and

(v) The extent to which the evaluation will provide guidance about effective strategies suitable for replication or testing in other settings.

OUTREACH PROJECTS FOR CHILDREN WITH DISABILITIES

(CFDA 84.324R)

DEADLINE: 12/03/99

ABSOLUTE PRIORITY:

This priority supports projects that will assist educational and other agencies in replicating proven models, components of models, and other exemplary practices that improve services for infants, toddlers, children with disabilities, and students with disabilities who are pursuing post-school employment, postsecondary education or independent living goals.

For the purposes of this priority, a “proven model” is a comprehensive description of a theory or system that, when applied, has been shown to be effective. “Exemplary practices” are effective strategies and methods used to deliver education, related or early intervention services. The models, components of models, or exemplary practices selected for outreach may include those developed for pre-service and in-service personnel preparation, and do not need to have been developed through projects funded under IDEA, or by the applicant.

Important elements of an outreach project include but are not limited to:

- (a) Providing supporting data or other documentation in the application regarding the effectiveness of the model, components of a model, or exemplary practices selected for outreach;
- (b) Selecting implementation sites in multiple regions within one State or multiple States and describing the criteria for their selection;
- (c) Describing the expected costs, needed personnel, staff training, equipment, and sequence of implementation activities associated with the replication efforts, including a description of any modifications to the model or practice made by the sites;
- (d) Including public awareness, product development and dissemination, training, and technical assistance activities as part of the implementation of the project; and
- (e) Coordinating dissemination and replication activities conducted as part of outreach with dissemination projects, technical assistance providers, consumer and advocacy organizations, State and local educational agencies, and the lead agencies for Part C of IDEA, as appropriate.

Projects must prepare products from the project in formats that are useful for specific audiences, including parents, administrators, teachers, early intervention personnel, related services personnel, and individuals with disabilities. (See section 661(f)(2)(B) of IDEA).

Federal financial participation for a project funded under this priority will not exceed 90 percent of the total annual costs of development, operation, and evaluation of the project (see section 661(f)(2)(A) of IDEA).

In addition to the annual two-day Project Directors' meeting in Washington, D.C. mentioned in the General Requirements section of this notice, projects must budget annually for another meeting in Washington, D.C. to collaborate with the Federal project officer and the other projects funded under this priority, to share information and discuss project implementation issues.

Competitive Preference:

Within this absolute priority, we will give the following competitive preference under section 606 of IDEA and 34 CFR 75.105(c)(2)(i), to applications that are otherwise eligible for funding under this priority:

Up to ten (10) points based on the extent to which an application includes effective strategies for employing and advancing in employment qualified individuals with disabilities in projects awarded under this absolute priority. In determining the effectiveness of such strategies, the Secretary will consider the applicant's success, as described in the application, in employing and advancing in employment qualified individuals with disabilities in the project.

For purposes of this competitive preference, applicants can be awarded up to a total of 10 points in addition to those awarded under the published selection criteria for this priority. That is, an applicant meeting this competitive preference could earn a maximum total of 110 points.

PROJECT PERIOD: Up to 36 months.

MAXIMUM AWARD: The Secretary rejects and does not consider an application that proposes a budget exceeding \$150,000 (exclusive of any matching funds) for any single budget period of 12 months. The Secretary may change the maximum amount through a notice published in the Federal Register.

PAGE LIMITS: The maximum page limit for this priority is 40 double-spaced pages.

Note: Applications must meet the required page limit standards that are described in the "General Requirements" section of this notice.

GENERAL REQUIREMENTS:

(a) Projects funded under this notice must make positive efforts to employ and advance in employment qualified individuals with disabilities in project activities (see Section 606 of IDEA);

(b) Applicants and grant recipients funded under this notice must involve individuals with disabilities or parents of individuals with disabilities in planning, implementing, and evaluating the projects (see Section 661(f)(1)(A) of IDEA);

(c) Projects funded under these priorities must budget for a two-day Project Directors' meeting in Washington, D.C. during each year of the project; and

(d) In a single application, an applicant is required to address only one absolute priority in this notice.

(e) Part III of each application submitted under a priority in this notice, the application narrative, is where an applicant addresses the selection criteria that are used by reviewers in evaluating the application. An applicant must limit Part III to the equivalent of no more than the number of pages listed in the "Page Limits" section under the applicable priority in this notice. An applicant must use the following standards: (1) A "page" is 8½" x 11" (on one side only) with one-inch margins (top, bottom, and sides). (2) All text in the application narrative, including titles, headings, footnotes, quotations, references, and captions, as well as all text in charts, tables, figures, and graphs, must be double-spaced (no more than 3 lines per vertical inch). If using a proportional computer font, use no smaller than a 12-point font, and an average character density no greater than 18 characters per inch. If using a nonproportional font or a typewriter, do not use more than 12 characters to the inch.

The page limit does not apply to Part I - the cover sheet; Part II - the budget section (including the narrative budget justification); Part IV - the assurances and certifications; or the one-page abstract, resumes, bibliography, and letters of support. However, all of the application narrative must be included in Part III. If an application narrative uses a smaller print size, spacing, or margin that would make the narrative exceed the equivalent of the page limit, the application will not be considered for funding.

Note: The Department of Education is not bound by any estimates in this notice.

APPLICABLE REGULATIONS: (a) The Education Department General Administrative Regulations (EDGAR) in 34 CFR parts 74, 75, 77, 79, 80, 81, 82, 85, 86, and 97; (b) The selection criteria for the priorities under this program are drawn from the EDGAR general selection menu. The specific selection criteria for each priority are included in the funding application packet for the applicable competition. Information collection resulting from this notice has been submitted to OMB for review under the Paperwork Reduction Act and has been approved under control number 1820-0028, expiration date July 31, 2000.

Note: The regulations in 34 CFR part 86 apply to institutions of higher education only.

ELIGIBLE APPLICANTS: State and local educational agencies; institutions of higher education; other public agencies; private nonprofit organizations; outlying areas; freely associated States; and Indian tribes or tribal organizations.

For further information about this priority contact:

***Selete Avoke, Competition Manager
Research to Practice Division
Office of Special Education Programs
Telephone: (202) -8157
FAX: (202) 205-0376
Internet: Selete_Avoke@ed.gov
TDD: (202) 205-8953***

SELECTION CRITERIA AND FORMAT FOR THE OUTREACH PROJECTS FOR CHILDREN WITH DISABILITIES (CFDA 84.324R) COMPETITION

Part III of the application form requires a narrative that addresses the selection criteria that will be used by reviewers in evaluating individual proposals. Applications are more likely to receive favorable reviews by panels when they are organized according to the format suggested below. This format was published in the **FEDERAL REGISTER** as an appendix to the program regulations, and it addresses all the selection criteria used to evaluate applications required by regulations. If you prefer to use a different format, you may wish to cross-reference the sections of your application to the selection criteria to be sure that reviewers are able to find all relevant information.

The selection criteria that will be used to evaluate applications submitted to the Outreach Projects for Children with Disabilities (CFDA 84.324R) are the selection criteria for new grants required by the EDGAR general selection criteria menu. The maximum score for all of the criteria is 100 points.

A one-page **abstract** should precede the application narrative. The application narrative should include the following sections in this order:

(a) Significance (15 points)

- (1) The Secretary considers the significance of the proposed project.
- (2) In determining the significance of the proposed project, the Secretary considers the following factors:
 - (i) The potential contribution of the proposed project to increased knowledge or understanding of educational problems, issues, or effective strategies;
 - (ii) The extent to which the proposed project is likely to build local capacity to provide, improve, or expand services that address the needs of the target population;
 - (iii) The extent to which the results of the proposed project are to be disseminated in ways that will enable others to use the information or strategies;
 - (iv) The potential replicability of the proposed project or strategies, including, as appropriate, the potential for implementation in a variety of settings; and
 - (v) The importance or magnitude of the results or outcomes likely to be attained by the proposed project.

(b) Quality of project services (25 points)

(1) The Secretary considers the quality of the services to be provided by the proposed project.

(2) In determining the quality of the services to be provided by the proposed project, the Secretary considers the quality and sufficiency of strategies for ensuring equal access and treatment for eligible project participants who are members of groups that have traditionally been underrepresented based on race, color, national origin, gender, age, or disability.

(3) In addition, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(i) The extent to which the services to be provided by the proposed project are appropriate to the needs of the intended recipients or beneficiaries of those services;

(ii) The extent to which entities that are to be served by the proposed technical assistance project demonstrate support for the project;

(iii) The extent to which the services to be provided by the proposed project reflect up-to-date knowledge from research and effective practice;

(iv) The extent to which the training or professional development services to be provided by the proposed project are of sufficient quality, intensity, and duration to lead to improvements in practice among the recipients of those services; and

(v) The extent to which the services to be provided by the proposed project involve the collaboration of appropriate partners for maximizing the effectiveness of project services.

(c) Quality of the management plan (15 points)

(1) The Secretary considers the quality of the management plan for the proposed project.

(2) In determining the quality of the management plan for the proposed project, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(i) The adequacy of the management plan to achieve the objectives of the proposed project on time and within budget, including clearly defined responsibilities, timelines, and milestones for accomplishing project tasks;

(ii) The adequacy of mechanisms for ensuring high-quality products and services from the proposed project;

(iii) The extent to which the time commitments of the project director and principal investigator and other key project personnel are appropriate and adequate to meet the objectives of the proposed project; and

(iv) How the applicant will ensure that a diversity of perspectives are brought to bear in the operation of the proposed project, including those of parents, teachers, the business community, a variety of disciplinary and professional fields, recipients or beneficiaries of services, or others, as appropriate.

(d) Quality of project personnel (20 points)

(1) The Secretary considers the quality of the personnel who will carry out the proposed project.

(2) In determining the quality of project personnel, the Secretary considers the extent to which the applicant encourages applications for employment from persons who are members of groups that have traditionally been underrepresented based on race, color, national origin, gender, age, or disability.

(3) In addition, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(i) The qualifications, including relevant training and experience, of key project personnel;
and

(ii) The qualifications, including relevant training and experience, of project consultants or subcontractors.

(e) Adequacy of resources (10 points)

(1) The Secretary considers the adequacy of resources for the proposed project.

(2) In determining the adequacy of resources for the proposed project, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(i) The adequacy of support, including facilities, equipment, supplies, and other resources, from the applicant organization or the lead applicant organization;

(ii) The relevance and demonstrated commitment of each partner in the proposed project to the implementation and success of the project;

(iii) The extent to which the budget is adequate to support the proposed project;

(iv) The extent to which the costs are reasonable in relation to the objectives, design, and potential significance of the proposed project; and

(v) The potential for the incorporation of project purposes, activities, or benefits into the ongoing program of the agency or organization at the end of Federal funding.

(f) Quality of the project evaluation (15 points)

(1) The Secretary considers the quality of the evaluation to be conducted of the proposed project.

(2) In determining the quality of the evaluation, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(i) The extent to which the methods of evaluation provide for examining the effectiveness of project implementation strategies;

(ii) The extent to which the methods of evaluation include the use of objective performance measures that are clearly related to the intended outcomes of the project and will produce quantitative and qualitative data to the extent possible;

(iii) The extent to which the methods of evaluation will provide performance feedback and permit periodic assessment of progress toward achieving intended outcomes; and

(iv) The extent to which the evaluation will provide guidance about effective strategies suitable for replication or testing in other settings.

GENERAL INFORMATION
ON COMPLETING
AN APPLICATION

GENERAL INFORMATION ON COMPLETING AN APPLICATION

Potential applicants frequently direct questions to officials of the Department regarding application notices and programmatic and administrative regulations governing various direct grant programs. To assist potential applicants, the Office of Special Education Programs staff have assembled the following most commonly raised issues. In general, this information applies to the grant competitions covered by this application package.

- **EXTENSION OF DEADLINES**

Waivers for individual applications are not granted, regardless of the circumstances. Under very extraordinary circumstances a closing date may be changed. Such changes are announced in the Federal Register.

- **COPIES OF THE APPLICATION**

Current Government-wide policy is that only AN ORIGINAL AND THREE COPIES need to be submitted. Copies of the application may be bound, but it is not necessary or required. If bound, one copy should be left unbound to facilitate electronic scanning and any necessary reproduction. Applicants should not use colored paper, foldouts, photographs, or other materials that are hard to duplicate.

- **MAKING APPLICATIONS MORE ACCESSIBLE TO REVIEWERS WHO ARE BLIND OR HAVE LOW VISION**

The Secretary will accept one copy of the application in an accessible format (i.e., IBM PC compatible WordPerfect or ASCII code diskette) along with the original and two print copies of the application. The accessible format copy can be used with available software to convert the text of the application into Braille, or with text to voice applications. If there are any differences in the print original provided on the disk and in print, the print original is assumed to be the correct version.

- **MISSED DEADLINES AND SUBMISSION UNDER OTHER COMPETITIONS**

Should an application miss the deadline for a particular competition, it may be submitted to another competition. However, if an application is properly prepared to meet the specifications of one competition, it is extremely unlikely that it would be favorably evaluated under a different competition.

- **SUBMISSION TO MORE THAN ONE PROGRAM**

Applications may be submitted to more than one Federal program if you are unsure of the most appropriate program. Each application should be prepared following the instructions for that particular program as closely as possible (which may require some reformulation). It is very helpful if each program is notified that an identical or similar application is being submitted to another program.

- **HELP PREPARING APPLICATIONS**

We are happy to provide general program information. Clearly it would not be appropriate for staff to participate in the actual writing of an application, but we can respond to specific questions about our application requirements and evaluation criteria, or about the announced priorities. Applicants should understand that such previous contact is not required, nor does it guarantee the success of an application.

- **NOTIFICATION OF FUNDING**

The time required to complete the evaluation of applications is variable. Once applications have been received staff must determine the areas of expertise needed to appropriately evaluate the applications, identify and contact potential reviewers, convene peer review panels, and summarize and review the recommendations of the review panels. You can expect to receive notification within 3 to 6 months of the application closing date, depending on the number of applications received and the number of competitions with closing dates at about the same time. The requested start date should therefore be a minimum of 6 months after the application closing date.

- **POSSIBILITY OF LEARNING THE OUTCOME OF REVIEW PANELS PRIOR TO OFFICIAL NOTIFICATION**

Every year we are called by a number of applicants who have legitimate reasons for needing to know the outcome of the review prior to official notification. Some applicants need to make job decisions, some need to notify a local school district, etc. Regardless of the reason, we cannot share information about the review with anyone until the Assistant Secretary has approved a slate of projects recommended for funding. You will be notified as quickly as possible either by telephone (if your application is recommended for funding), or through a letter (if your application is not successful).

- **FORMAT FOR APPLICATIONS**

The application narrative (Part III of the application form) should be organized to follow the exact sequence of the components in the selection criteria used to evaluate applications. (The selection criteria for the competition covered by this packet is listed following the specific competition information in section "C" of this packet.) A table of contents, list of priority requirements, and a one-page abstract summarizing the objectives, activities, project participants, and expected outcomes of the proposed project should precede the application

narrative. If you prefer to use a different format, you may wish to cross-reference the sections of your application to the selection criteria to be sure that reviewers are able to find all relevant information.

To aid in screening and reviewing the application, applicants should list in Part II and prior to the abstract, all general, special, and other requirements for the priority and corresponding page number (s) where requirements are addressed within the application. Page limits do not apply to this list. (All requirements are found in each priority description included in this application package.) The format included below is an example of how you might provide this information in your application.

Page #	Requirements
_____	(a) Projects funded under this notice must make positive efforts to employ and advance in employment qualified individuals with disabilities in project activities. (See Section 606 of IDEA)
_____	(b) Applicants and grant recipients funded under this notice must involve individuals with disabilities or parents of individuals with disabilities in planning, implementing, and evaluating the projects. (See Section 661(f)(1)(A) of IDEA)
_____	(c) Applicant must describe steps to ensure equitable access to, and participation in, its program for students, teachers, and other program beneficiaries with special needs. (See Section 427, GEPA)
_____	(d) Projects funded under these priorities must budget for a two-day Project's Directors' meeting in Washington, D.C. during each year of the project.

- **BEST WAY TO PREPARE PROGRAM ABSTRACT**

The program abstract should be **one page** in length. It would be helpful if it included; the title of the program, the name of the Absolute Priority, and the CFDA Number (e.g., 84.324B, etc.).

- **PAGE LIMITS**

Please note that all applications submitted under the competition in this application package must adhere to the Part III - Application Narrative page limit requirements that are specified under each priority/competition description. Your application should provide enough information to allow the review panel to evaluate the importance and impact of the project as well as to make knowledgeable judgments about the methods you propose to use (design, subjects, sampling procedures, measures, instruments, data analysis strategies, etc.). It is often helpful to have:

- (1) Staff Vitae--They should include each person's title and role in the proposed project and contain only information that is relevant to this proposed project's activities and/or publications. Vitae for consultants and Advisory Council members should be similarly brief.
- (2) Instruments--except in the case of generally available and well known instruments.
- (3) Agreements--when the participation of an agency other than the applicant is critical to the project. This is particularly critical when an intervention will be implemented within an agency, or when subjects will be drawn from particular agencies. Letters of cooperation should be specific, indicating agreement to implement a particular intervention or to provide access to a particular group of students.

- **MAKING SURE APPLICATION IS ASSIGNED TO THE CORRECT COMPETITION**

Applicants should clearly indicate in Item 3 on the application (ED Form 424) the CFDA number of the program priority (e.g., 84.324C, etc.) representing the competition in which the application should be considered. If this information is not provided, your application may inadvertently be assigned and reviewed under a different competition from the one you intended.

- **RETURN OF NON-FUNDED APPLICATIONS**

We do not return original copies of applications. Thus, applicants should retain at least one copy of the application. Copies of reviewer comments will be mailed to all applicants.

- **PROPOSED STAFF AVAILABILITY TO PROJECT**

For each staff person named in the application, please provide documentation of all internal and external time commitments. In instances where a staff person is committed on a federally supported project, please provide the project name, program and division responsible for project monitoring, the project federal award number, and the amount of committed time by project year.

- **USE OF PERSON LOADING CHARTS**

It is important for applicants to include proposed time commitments for all project personnel. Also, program officials and applicants often find person loading charts useful formats for showing project personnel and their time commitments to individual activities. A person loading chart is a tabular representation of major evaluation activities by number of days spent by each key person involved in each activity, as shown in the following example.

Table #
Person Loading Chart - Time in Day(s) by Person*

Activity	Time in Day(s) by Person			
	Person A	Person B	Person C	Person D
Library Research	15	20	0	0
Hire Staff	0	0	0	5
Prepare Materials	5	25	0	0
Train Raters	0	2	0	0
Data Collection	60	60	0	0
Data Analysis	0	0	25	5
Dissemination (manuscripts, etc.)	0	1	0	10

*Note: All figures represent FTE for the academic year.

- DELIVERING/SENDING APPLICATIONS TO THE COMPETITION MANAGER

Applications can be mailed or hand delivered, but in either case **must** go to the **Application Control Center** at the address listed in the Application Transmittal Instructions (E-1). Delivering or sending the application to the competition manager in the program office may prevent it from being logged in on time to the appropriate competition and may result in the application not being reviewed.

- ALLOWED TRAVEL UNDER THESE PROJECTS

Travel is allowed if the travel specifically relates to the expressed goals of the project. Travel by students to further their education under the project's goals is also allowed. Travel to conferences is the travel item that is most likely to be questioned during negotiations. Such travel is sometimes allowed when it is for purposes of dissemination, when there will be results to be disseminated, and when it is clear that a conference presentation or workshop is an effective way of reaching a particular target group.

- FUNDING OF APPROVED APPLICATIONS

It is often the case that the number of applications recommended for approval by the reviewers exceeds the dollars available for funding projects under a particular competition. When the panel reviews are completed for a particular competition, the individual reviewer scores and applications are ranked. The higher ranked, approved applications are funded first, and there are often lower ranked, approved applications that do not receive funding. Sometimes, one or two applications that are approved and fall next in rank order (after those projects selected for funding) are placed on hold. If dollars become available as a result of negotiations, or if a higher ranked applicant declines the award, the projects on hold may receive funding. If

you receive a letter stating that you will not receive funding, then your project has neither been selected for funding nor placed on hold.

- **INDIRECT COST RATE**

There is no maximum indirect cost for the competitions in this application package. An organization's current effective indirect cost rate is the rate that should be reflected in your proposed budget.

- **ISSUES RAISED DURING DISCUSSIONS PRIOR TO AWARD**

If your application is recommended for funding, discussions may be held prior to award to clarify technical or budget issues. These are issues that have been identified during panel and staff review. Generally, technical issues are minor issues that require clarification. Alternative approaches may be presented for your consideration, or you may be asked to provide additional information or rationale for something you have proposed to do. Sometimes, concerns are stated as "conditions". These are concerns that have been identified as so critical that the award cannot be made unless those conditions are met. Questions are also raised about the proposed budget during the discussion phase. Generally, budget issues are raised because there is inadequate justification or explanation of the particular budget item, or because the budget item does not seem critical to the successful completion of the project. A Federal project officer will present the issues to you and ask you to respond. If you do not understand the question, you should ask for clarification. In responding to discussion items you should provide any additional information or clarification requested. You may feel that an issue was addressed in the application. It may not, however, have been explained in enough detail to make it understood by reviewers, and more information should be provided. If you are asked to make changes that you feel could seriously affect the project's success, you may provide reasons for not making the changes, or provide alternative suggestions. Similarly, if proposed budget reductions will, in your opinion, seriously affect the proposed activities, you may want to explain why and provide additional justification for the proposed expenses. Your changes, explanations, and alternative suggestions will be carefully evaluated by staff. In some instances, an applicant may again be contacted for additional information. An award cannot be made until all issues have been resolved and conditions met.

- **TREATING A PRIORITY AS TWO SEPARATE COMPETITIONS.** In the past, there have been problems in finding peer reviewers without conflicts of interest where applications are made by many entities throughout the country. The Standing Panel requirements under IDEA '97 have also placed additional constraints on the availability of reviewers. Therefore, the Secretary has determined that, for some discretionary priorities, applications may be ranked and selected for funding in two or more groups, which will ensure the availability of a much larger group of reviewers without conflicts of interest. This procedure will increase the quality, independence and fairness of the review process and will permit panel members to review applications under discretionary priorities to which they have also submitted applications.

- **SUCCESSFUL APPLICATIONS AND ESTIMATED/PROJECTED BUDGET AMOUNTS IN SUBSEQUENT YEARS**

There is a maximum award amount specified for the priority/competition included in this package. The Secretary rejects and does not consider an application that proposes a budget exceeding the maximum amount for any single budget period of 12 months for the priority included in this package. Please refer to the priority description to determine the maximum award for any one particular competition. Since the yearly budgets for multi-year projects will be negotiated at the time of the initial award, applicants must include detailed budgets for each year of their proposed project. Generally, out-year funding levels most likely will not exceed 1st year budgets. However, budget modifications during the negotiation process, the findings from the previous year, or needed changes in the study design can affect your budget requirements in subsequent years, but in no case will out-year budgets exceed the maximum award amount.

- **REQUIREMENT TO REPORT THE RESULTS OF GRANT ACTIVITIES**

The Secretary shall, where appropriate, require recipients of all grants, contracts and cooperative agreements under Part D of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act to prepare reports describing their procedures, findings, and other relevant information. The Secretary shall require their delivery to the Department of Education and to the ERIC Clearinghouse on Disabilities and Gifted Education, and other networks as the Secretary may determine appropriate. (20 U.S.C. 1461)

- **DIFFERENCE BETWEEN A COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT AND A GRANT**

A cooperative agreement is similar to a grant in that its principal purpose is to accomplish a public purpose of support or stimulation as authorized by a Federal statute. It differs from a grant in the sense that in a cooperative agreement substantial involvement is anticipated between the executive agency (in this case the Department of Education) and the recipient during the performance of the contemplated activity.

- **DIFFERENCE BETWEEN AN ABSOLUTE PRIORITY, AN INVITATIONAL PRIORITY, AND A COMPETITIVE PRIORITY**

An absolute priority is a priority that an applicant must address in order to receive an award. If an applicant does not address an absolute priority, their application will be returned as being non-responsive to the priority.

An invitational priority is a priority that reflects a particular interest of the Department, and an applicant is encouraged to address the invitational priority along with the required

absolute priority. However, an applicant choosing to address an invitational priority, will not receive any competitive preference over other applications.

A competitive priority is like an invitational priority in that it reflects a particular interest of the Department, and an applicant is encouraged to address the competitive priority along with the required absolute priority. A competitive priority may be handled in one of two ways: (1) an application may be awarded additional points depending on how effectively it addresses the competitive priority; or (2) an application that meets a competitive priority may be selected over an application of comparable merit that does not address the competitive priority. The type of competitive priority for a particular competition is always included in the FEDERAL REGISTER announcement.

- **OBTAINING COPIES OF THE FEDERAL REGISTER, PROGRAM REGULATIONS AND FEDERAL STATUTES**

Copies of these materials can usually be found at your local library. If not, they can be obtained by writing to:

Superintendent of Documents
U.S. Government Printing Office
Washington, D.C. 20402
Telephone: (202) 512-1800.

Information about the Department's funding opportunities, including copies of application notices for discretionary grant competitions, can be viewed on the Department's electronic bulletin board (ED Board), telephone (202) 260-9950; or on the Internet Gopher Server at GOPHER.ED.GOV (under Announcements, Bulletins, and Press Releases); or on the World Wide Web at <http://www.ed.gov/money.html>. However, the official application notice for a discretionary grant competition is the notice published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL INSTRUCTIONS
AND
REQUIREMENTS FOR INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVIEW

APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL INSTRUCTIONS

An application for an award must be postmarked or hand delivered by the closing date.

Applications Sent by Mail

An application sent by mail must be addressed to the U.S. Department of Education, Application Control Center, Attention: CFDA 84.__(be sure to include the correct alpha and numeric description - e.g., 84.324B), 400 Maryland Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20202-4725.

An application must show proof of mailing consisting of one of the following:

- (1) A legibly dated U.S. Postal Service Postmark.
- (2) A legible mail receipt with the date of mailing stamped by the U.S. Postal Service.
- (3) A dated shipping label, invoice, or receipt from a commercial carrier.
- (4) Any other proof of mailing acceptable to the U.S. Secretary of Education.

If an application is sent through the U.S. Postal Service, the Secretary does not accept either of the following as proof of mailing:

- (1) A private metered postmark, or
- (2) A mail receipt that is not dated by the U.S. Postal Service.

An applicant should note that the U.S. Postal Service does not uniformly provide a dated postmark. Before relying on this method, an applicant should check with its local post office.

An applicant is encouraged to use registered or at least first class mail.

Each late applicant will be notified that its application will not be considered.

Applications Delivered by Hand/Courier Service

An application that is hand delivered must be taken to the U.S. Department of Education, Application Control Center, Room 3633, General Services Administration National Capital Region, 7th and D Streets, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20202-4725.

The Application Control Center will accept deliveries between 8:00 a.m., and 4:30 p.m. (Washington, D.C. time) daily, except Saturdays, Sundays, and Federal holidays.

Individuals delivering applications must use the D Street Entrance. Proper identification is necessary to enter the building.

In order for an application sent through a Courier Service to be considered timely, the Courier Service must be in receipt of the application on or before the closing date.

EXECUTIVE ORDER 12372 - INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVIEW

The Education Department General Administrative Regulations (EDGAR), 34 CFR Part 79, pertaining to intergovernmental review of Federal programs, applies to the programs included in this application package.

Immediately upon receipt of this notice, all applicants, other than Federally recognized Indian Tribal Governments, must contact the appropriate State Single Point of Contact to find out about, and to comply with, the State's process under Executive Order 12372. Applicants proposing to perform activities in more than one State should contact, immediately upon receipt of this notice, the Single Point of Contact for each State and follow the procedures established in those States under the Executive Order. A list containing the Single Point of Contact for each State is included on the following five pages of this application package.

In States that have not established a process or chosen a program for review, State, areawide, regional, and local entities may submit comments directly to the Department.

Any State Process Recommendation and other comments submitted by a State Single Point of Contact and any comments from State, areawide, regional, and local entities must be mailed or hand-delivered by **the deadline for Intergovernmental Review listed in the chart on page B-1 of this packet** to the following address:

The Secretary
E.O. 12372 - CFDA # 84._
U.S. Department of Education, Room 7W301
400 Maryland Avenue, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20202-0100

In those States that require review for this program, applications are to be submitted simultaneously to the State Review Process and the U.S. Department of Education.

Proof of mailing will be determined on the same basis as applications.

Please note that the above address is not the same address as the one to which the applicant submits a completed application. Do not send applications to the above address.

STATE SINGLE POINTS OF CONTACT

Note: In accordance with Executive Order #12372, this listing represents the designated State Single Points of Contact. Because participation is voluntary, some States and Territories no longer participate in the process. These include: Alabama, Alaska, American Samoa, Colorado, Connecticut, Hawaii, Idaho, Kansas, Louisiana, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Montana, Nebraska, New Jersey, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Tennessee, Vermont, Virginia, and Washington.

The jurisdictions not listed no longer participate in the process. However, an applicant is still eligible to apply for a grant or grants even if its respective State, Territory, Commonwealth, etc. does not have a State Single Point of Contact.

ARIZONA

Ms. Joni Saad
Arizona State Clearinghouse
3800 N. Central Avenue
Fourteenth Floor
Phoenix, Arizona 85012
Telephone: (602) 280-1315
FAX: (602) 280-8144
jonis@ep.state.az.us

FLORIDA

Florida State Clearinghouse
Department of Community Affairs
22740 Centerview Drive
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2100
Telephone: (904) 922-5438
FAX: (904) 487-2899
Contact: Ms. Cherie Trainor
Telephone: (850) 414-5495
cherie.trainor@dca.state.fl.us

ARKANSAS

Mr. Tracy L. Copeland
Manager, State Clearinghouse
Office of Intergovernmental Services
Department of Finance and Administration
1515 W. 7th Street, Room 412
Little Rock, Arkansas 72203
Telephone: (501) 682-1074
FAX: (501) 682-5206
tlcopeland@dfa.state.ar.us

GEORGIA

Ms. Deborah Stephens
Coordinator
Georgia State Clearinghouse
270 Washington Street, S.W. - 8th Flr.
Atlanta, GA 30334
Telephone: (404) 656-3855
Telephone: (404) 656-3855
FAX: (404) 656-7901
ssda@mail.opb.state.ga.us

CALIFORNIA

Grants Coordinator
State Clearinghouse
Office of Planning & Research
1600 Ninth Street, Room 250
Sacramento, California 95814
Telephone: (916) 323-7480
FAX: (916) 323-3018
No e-mail address
FAX: (312) 814-1800

ILLINOIS

Ms. Virginia Bova, Single Point of Contact
Illinois Department of Commerce and
Community Affairs
James R. Thompson Center
100 West Randolph, Suite 3-400
Chicago, IL 60601
Telephone: (312) 814-6028
No e-mail address

DELAWARE

Ms. Francine Booth
State Single Point of Contact
Executive Department
Office of the Budget
540 S. Dupont Highway
Suite 5
Dover, Delaware 19903
Telephone: (302) 739-3326
FAX: (302) 739-5661
fbooth@state.de.us

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Mr. Ron Seldon
State Single Point of Contact
Office of Grants Mgmt. & Development.
717 14th Street, N.W. - Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 727-6537
FAX: (202) 727-1617
rseldon-ogmd@dcm.gov

KENTUCKY

Mr. Kevin J. Goldsmith, Director
Sandra Brewer, Executive Secretary
Intergovernmental Affairs
Office of the Governor
700 Capitol Avenue
Frankfort, Kentucky 40601
Telephone: (502) 564-2611
FAX: (502) 564-0437
kgoldmkgosmith@mail.state.ky.us
Sbrewer@mail.state.ky.us

MAINE

Ms. Joyce Benson
State Planning Office
184 State Street
38 State House Station
Augusta, Maine 04333
Telephone: (207) 287-3261
FAX: (207) 287-6489
joyce.benson@state.me.us

MARYLAND

INDIANA

Ms. Frances Williams
State Budget Agency
212 State House
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2796
Telephone: (317) 232-2972
FAX: (317) 233-3323
No e-mail address

IOWA

Mr. Steven R. McCann
Division for Community Assistance
Iowa Department of Economic
Development
200 East Grand Avenue
Des Moines, Iowa 50309
Telephone: (515) 242-4719
FAX: (515) 242-4809
steve.mccann@ided.state.ia.us

MISSOURI

Ms. Lois Pohl
Federal Assistance Clearinghouse
Office of Administration
P.O. Box 809
Jefferson Building, 9th Floor
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
Telephone: (314) 751-4834
FAX: (314) 751-7819
No e-mail address

NEVADA

Department of Administration
State Clearinghouse
209 E. Musser Street, Room 220
Carson City, Nevada 89710
Telephone: (702) 687-4065
FAX: (702) 687-3983
Contact: Ms. Heather Elliot
Telephone: (702) 687-6367
helliott@govmail.state.nv.us

NEW HAMPSHIRE

Ms. Linda Janey
Manager, Plan & Project Review
Maryland Office of Planning
301 W. Preston Street - Room 1104
Baltimore, Maryland 21201-2365
Staff Contact: Linda Janey
Telephone: (410) 767-4490
FAX: (410) 767-4480
linda@mail.op.state.md.us

Mr. Jeffrey H. Taylor
Director, New Hampshire Office of State Plan
Attn: Intergovernmental Review Process
Mike Blake
2 ½ Beacon Street
Concord, New Hampshire 03301
Telephone: (603) 271-2155
FAX: (603) 271-1728
No e-mail address

MICHIGAN

Mr. Richard Pfaff
Southeast Michigan Council of Governments
660 Plaza Drive - Suite 1900
Detroit, Michigan 48226
Telephone: (313) 961-4266
FAX: (313) 961-4869
pfaff@semcog.org

NEW MEXICO

Mr. Nick Mandell
Local Government Division
State Budget Division
Bataan Memorial Building, Room 201
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87503
Telephone: (505) 827-3640
FAX: (505) 827-4984
No e-mail address

MISSISSIPPI

Ms. Cathy Mallette
Clearinghouse Officer
Department of Finance and Administration
550 High Street
303 Walters Sillers Building
Jackson, Mississippi 39302-3087
Telephone: (601) 359-6762
FAX: (601) 359-6758
No e-mail address

NEW YORK

New York State Clearinghouse
Division of the Budget
State Capitol
Albany, New York 12224
Telephone: (518) 474-1605
FAX: (518) 486-5617
No e-mail address

NORTH CAROLINA

Ms. Jeanette Furney
North Carolina Department
of Administration
116 West Jones Street - Suite 5106
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603-8003
Telephone: (919) 733-7232
FAX: (919) 733-9571
jeanette_furney@mail.doa.state.nc.us

WEST VIRGINIA

Mr. Fred Cutlip, Director
Community Development Division
W. Virginia Development Office
Building #6, Room 553
Charleston, West Virginia 25305
Telephone: (304) 558-4010
FAX: (304) 558-3248
fcutlip@wvdo.org

NORTH DAKOTA

WISCONSIN

North Dakota Single Point of Contact
Office of Intergovernmental Assistance
600 East Boulevard Avenue
Department 105
Bismarck, North Dakota 58505-0170
Telephone: (701) 224-2094
FAX: (701) 224-2308
No e-mail address

RHODE ISLAND

Mr. Kevin Nelson
Review Coordinator
Department of Administration
Division of Planning
One Capitol Hill, 4th Floor
Providence, Rhode Island 02908-5870
Telephone: (401) 222-2280
FAX: (401) 277-2083
No e-mail address

SOUTH CAROLINA

Ms. Omeagia Burgess
State Single Point of Contact
Budget and Control Board
Office of the State Budget
1122 Ladies Street - 12th Floor
Columbia, South Carolina 29201
Telephone: (803) 734-0494
FAX: (803) 734-0645
No e-mail address

TEXAS

Mr. Tom Adams
Governors Office
Director, Intergovernmental Coordination
P.O. Box 12428
Austin, Texas 78711
Telephone: (512) 463-1771
FAX: (512) 463-2681
tadams@governor.state.tx.us

UTAH

Carolyn Wright
Utah State Clearinghouse
Office of Planning and Budget

Mr. Jeff Smith
Section Chief, State/Federal Relations
Wisconsin Department of Administration
101 East Wilson Street - 6th Floor
P.O. Box 7868
Madison, Wisconsin 53707
Telephone: (608) 266-0267
FAX: (608) 267-6931
sjt@mail.state.wy.us

WYOMING

Ms. Sandy Ross
State Single Point of Contact
Dept. of Administration and Information
2001 Capitol Avenue, Room 214
Cheyenne, WY 82002
Telephone: (307) 777-7446
FAX: (307) 632-3909
sross1@missc.state.wy.us

TERRITORIES

GUAM

Mr. Joseph Riviera, Acting Director
Bureau of Budget and Management Research
Office of the Governor
P.O. Box 2950
Agana, Guam 96910
Telephone: (671) 475-9411 or 9412
FAX: (671) 472-2825
No e-mail address

PUERTO RICO

Mr. Jose Caballero-Mercado, Chairman
Puerto Rico Planning Board
Federal Proposals Review Office
Minillas Government Center
P.O. Box 4119
San Juan, Puerto Rico 00940-1119
Telephone: (809) 727-4444
(809) 723-6190
FAX: (809) 724-3270
(809) 724-3103

NORTH MARIANA ISLANDS

Mr. Alvaro A. Santos, Executive Officer

State Capitol, Room 116
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114
Telephone: (801) 538-1535
FAX: (801) 538-1547
cwright@state.ut.us

VIRGIN ISLANDS

Mr. Nellon Bowry
Director, Office of Management and
Budget
41 Noregade Emancipation Garden
Second Floor
Saint Thomas, VI 00802
Contact: Ms. Linda Clarke
Telephone: (809) 774-0750
FAX: (809) 776-0069
No e-mail address

Office of Management and Budget
Office of the Governor
Saipan, MP 96950
Telephone: (670) 664-2256
FAX: (670) 664-2272
Contact: Ms. Jacoba T. Seman
Federal Programs Coordinator
Telephone: (670) 664-2289
FAX: (670) 664-2272
No e-mail address

Note: This list is based on the most current information provided by the States. Information on any changes or apparent errors should be provided to Sherron Duncan (Telephone (202) 395-3120) at the Office of Management and Budget and to the State in question. Changes to the list will only be made upon formal notification by the State. The list is also published biannually in the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance.

Application for Federal Education Assistance

U.S. Department of Education

Form Approved
OMB No. 1875-0106
Exp. 06/30/2001

Applicant Information

1. Name and Address

Organizational Unit

Legal Name: _____

Address: _____

City

State

County

ZIP Code + 4

2. Applicant's D-U-N-S Number: _____

Title: _____

3. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance #: **84.** _____ ==>

4. Project Director: _____

6. Type of Applicant (Enter appropriate letter in the box.) / _____

Address: _____

City _____ State _____ Zip code + 4 _____

Tel. #: () _____ - _____ Fax #: () _____ - _____

E-Mail Address: _____

A - State
B - County
C - Municipal
D - Township
E - Interstate
F - Intermunicipal
G - Special District
H - Independent School District
I - Public College or University
J - Private, Non-Profit College or University
K - Indian Tribe
L - Individual
M - Private, Profit-Making Organization
N - Other (Specify): _____

5. Is the applicant delinquent on any Federal debt? ____ Yes ____ No
(If "Yes," attach an explanation.)

7. Novice Applicant ____ Yes ____ No

Application Information

8. Type of Submission:

-PreApplication *-Application*
____ Construction ____ Construction
____ Non-Construction ____ Non-Construction

9. Is application subject to review by Executive Order 12372 process?

____ Yes (Date made available to the Executive Order 12372
process for review): ____/____/____

____ No (If "No," check appropriate box below.)

____ Program is not covered by E.O. 12372.

____ Program has not been selected by State for review.

10. Proposed Project Dates: ____/____/____

Start Date:

End Date:

11. Are any research activities involving human subjects planned at
any time during the proposed project period? ____ Yes ____ No
a. If "Yes," Exemption(s) #: b. Assurance of Compliance #:

OR

c. IRB approval date: ____ Full IRB or
____ Expedited Review

12. Descriptive Title of Applicant's Project:

Estimated Funding

13a. Federal \$ _____ . 00

b. Applicant \$ _____ . 00

c. State \$ _____ . 00

d. Local \$ _____ . 00

e. Other \$ _____ . 00

f. Program Income \$ _____ . 00

g. TOTAL \$ _____ . 00

Authorized Representative Information

14. To the best of my knowledge and belief, all data in this preapplication/application are true

and correct. The document has been duly authorized by the governing body of the applicant

and the applicant will comply with the attached assurances if the assistance is awarded.

a. Typed Name of Authorized Representative

b. Title: _____

c. Tel. #: () _____ - _____ Fax #: () _____ - _____

d. E-Mail Address: _____

e. Signature of Authorized Representative

Date: ____/____/____

Instructions for ED 424

1. **Legal Name and Address.** Enter the legal name of applicant and the name of the primary organizational unit which will undertake the assistance activity.
2. **D-U-N-S Number.** Enter the applicant's D-U-N-S Number. If your organization does not have a D-U-N-S Number, you can obtain the number by calling 1-800-333-0505 or by completing a D-U-N-S Number Request Form. The form can be obtained via the Internet at the following URL:
<http://www.dnb.com/dbis/aboutdb/intlduns.htm>.
3. **Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) Number.** Enter the CFDA number and title of the program under which assistance is requested.
4. **Project Director.** Name, address, telephone and fax numbers, and e-mail address of the person to be contacted on matters involving this application.
5. **Federal Debt Delinquency.** Check "Yes" if the applicant's organization is delinquent on any Federal debt. (This question refers to the applicant's organization and not to the person who signs as the authorized representative. Categories of debt include delinquent audit disallowances, loans and taxes.) Otherwise, check "No."
6. **Type of Applicant.** Enter the appropriate letter in the box provided.
7. **Novice Applicant.** Check "Yes" only if assistance is being requested under a program that gives special consideration to novice applicants and you meet the program requirements for novice applicants. By checking "Yes" the applicant certifies that it meets the novice applicant requirements specified by ED. Otherwise, check "No."
8. **Type of Submission.** Self-explanatory.
9. **Executive Order 12372.** Check "Yes" if the application is subject to review by Executive Order 12372. Also, please enter the month, date, and four (4) digit year (e.g., 12/12/2000). Applicants should contact the State Single Point of Contact (SPOC) for Federal Executive Order 12372 to determine whether the application is subject to the State intergovernmental review process. Otherwise, check "No."
10. **Proposed Project Dates.** Please enter the month, date, and four (4) digit year (e.g., 12/12/2000).
11. **Human Subjects.** Check "Yes" or "No". If research activities involving human subjects are not planned at any time during the proposed project period, check "No." **The remaining parts of item 11 are then not applicable.**

If research activities involving human subjects, whether or not exempt from Federal regulations for the protection of human

subjects, are planned at any time during the proposed project period, either at the applicant organization or at any other performance site or collaborating institution, check "Yes." If all the research activities are designated to be exempt under the regulations, enter, in item 11a, the exemption number(s) corresponding to one or more of the six exemption categories listed in "Protection of Human Subjects in Research" attached to this form. Provide sufficient information in the application to allow a determination that the designated exemptions in item 11a, are appropriate. **Provide this narrative information in an "Item 11/Protection of Human Subjects Attachment" and insert this attachment immediately following the ED 424 face page. Skip the remaining parts of item 11.**

If some or all of the planned research activities involving human subjects are covered (nonexempt), skip item 11a and continue with the remaining parts of item 11, as noted below. In addition, follow the instructions in "Protection of Human Subjects in Research" attached to this form to prepare the six-point narrative about the nonexempt activities. **Provide this six-point narrative in an "Item 11/Protection of Human Subjects Attachment" and insert this attachment immediately following the ED 424 face page.**

If the applicant organization has an approved Multiple Project Assurance of Compliance on file with the Grants Policy and Oversight Staff (GPOS), U.S. Department of Education, or with the Office for Protection from Research Risks (OPRR), National Institutes of Health, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, that covers the specific activity, enter the Assurance number in item 11b and the date of approval by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the proposed activities in item 11c. This date must be no earlier than one year before the receipt date for which the application is submitted and must include the four (4) digit year (e.g., 2000). Check the type of IRB review in the appropriate box. An IRB may use the expedited review procedure if it complies with the requirements of 34 CFR 97.110. If the IRB review is delayed beyond the submission of the application, enter "Pending" in item 11c. If your application is recommended/selected for funding, a follow-up certification of IRB approval from an official signing for the applicant organization must be sent to and received by the designated ED official within 30 days after a specific formal request from the designated ED official. **If the applicant organization does not have** on file with GPOS or OPRR **an approved Assurance of Compliance** that covers the proposed research activity, enter "None" in item 11b and skip 11c. In this case, the applicant organization, by the signature on the application, is declaring that it will comply with 34 CFR 97 within 30 days after a specific formal request from the designated ED official for the Assurance(s) and IRB certifications.

12. Project Title. Enter a brief descriptive title of the project. If more than one program is involved, you should append an explanation on a separate sheet. If appropriate (e.g., construction or real property projects), attach a map showing project location. For preapplications, use a separate sheet to provide a summary description of this project.

13. Estimated Funding. Amount requested or to be contributed during the first funding/budget period by each contributor. Value of in-kind contributions should be included on appropriate lines as applicable. If the action will result in a dollar change to an existing award, indicate only the amount of the change. For decreases, enclose the amounts in parentheses. If both basic and supplemental amounts are included, show breakdown on an attached sheet. For multiple program funding, use totals and show breakdown using same categories as item 13.

14. Certification. To be signed by the authorized representative of the applicant. A copy of the governing body's authorization for you to sign this application as official representative must be on file in the applicant's office.

Be sure to enter the telephone and fax number and e-mail address of the authorized representative. Also, in item 14e,

please enter the month, date, and four (4) digit year (e.g., 12/12/2000) in the date signed field.

Paperwork Burden Statement

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless such collection displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is **1875-0106**. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average between 15 and 45 minutes per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. **If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this form, please write to:** U.S. Department of Education, Washington, D.C. 20202-4651. **If you have comments or concerns regarding the status of your individual submission of this form write directly to:** Joyce I. Mays, Application Control Center, U.S. Department of Education, 7th and D Streets, S.W. ROB-3, Room 3633, Washington, D.C. 20202-4725

Protection of Human Subjects in Research (Attachment to ED 424)

I. Instructions to Applicants about the Narrative Information that Must be Provided if Research Activities Involving Human Subjects are Planned.

If you marked item 11 on the application “Yes” and designated exemptions in 11a, **(all research activities are exempt)**, provide sufficient information in the application to allow a determination that the designated exemptions are appropriate. Research involving human subjects that is exempt from the regulations is discussed under **II.B. “Exemptions,”** below. The Narrative must be succinct.

Provide this information in an “Item 11/Protection of Human Subjects Attachment” and insert this attachment immediately following the ED 424 face page.

If you marked “Yes” to item 11 on the face page, and designated no exemptions from the regulations **(some or all of the research activities are nonexempt)**, address the following six points for each nonexempt activity. In addition, if research involving human subjects will take place at collaborating site(s) or other performance site(s), provide this information before discussing the six points. Although no specific page limitation applies to this section of the application, be succinct. Provide the six-point narrative and discussion of other performance sites in an **“Item 11/Protection of Human Subjects Attachment”** and insert this attachment immediately following the ED 424 face page.

(1) Provide a detailed description of the proposed involvement of human subjects. Describe the characteristics of the subject population, including their anticipated number, age range, and health status. Identify the criteria for inclusion or exclusion of any subpopulation. Explain the rationale for the involvement of special classes of subjects, such as children, children with disabilities, adults with disabilities, persons with mental disabilities, pregnant women, prisoners, institutionalized individuals, or others who are likely to be vulnerable.

(2) Identify the sources of research material obtained from individually identifiable living human subjects in the form of specimens, records, or data. Indicate whether the material or data will be obtained specifically for research purposes or whether use will be made of existing specimens, records, or data.

(3) Describe plans for the recruitment of subjects and the consent procedures to be followed. Include the circumstances under which consent will be sought and obtained, who will seek it, the nature of the information to be provided to prospective subjects, and the method of documenting consent. State if the Institutional Review

The regulations define human subject as “a living individual about whom an investigator (whether professional or student) conducting research obtains (1) data through intervention or interaction with the individual, or (2) identifiable private information.” (1) *If an activity involves obtaining information about a living person by manipulating that person or that person’s environment, as might occur when a new instructional technique is tested, or by communicating or interacting with the individual, as occurs with*

Board (IRB) has authorized a modification or waiver of the elements of consent or the requirement for documentation of consent.

(4) Describe potential risks (physical, psychological, social, legal, or other) and assess their likelihood and seriousness. Where appropriate, describe alternative treatments and procedures that might be advantageous to the subjects.

(5) Describe the procedures for protecting against or minimizing potential risks, including risks to confidentiality, and assess their likely effectiveness. Where appropriate, discuss provisions for ensuring necessary medical or professional intervention in the event of adverse effects to the subjects. Also, where appropriate, describe the provisions for monitoring the data collected to ensure the safety of the subjects.

(6) Discuss why the risks to subjects are reasonable in relation to the anticipated benefits to subjects and in relation to the importance of the knowledge that may reasonably be expected to result.

II. Information on Research Activities Involving Human Subjects

A. Definitions.

A research activity involves human subjects if the activity is research, as defined in the Department’s regulations, and the research activity will involve use of human subjects, as defined in the regulations.

--Is it a research activity?

The ED Regulations for the Protection of Human Subjects, Title 34, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 97, define research as “a systematic investigation, including research development, testing and evaluation, designed to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge.” *If an activity follows a deliberate plan whose purpose is to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge, such as an exploratory study or the collection of data to test a hypothesis, it is research.* Activities which meet this definition constitute research whether or not they are conducted or supported under a program which is considered research for other purposes. For example, some demonstration and service programs may include research activities.

--Is it a human subject?

surveys and interviews, the definition of human subject is met. (2) If an activity involves obtaining private information about a living person in such a way that the information can be linked to that individual (the identity of the subject is or may be readily determined by the investigator or associated with the information), the definition of human subject is met. [Private information includes information about behavior that occurs in a context in which an individual can reasonably expect that no observation or

recording is taking place, and information which has been provided for specific purposes by an individual and which the individual can reasonably expect will not be made public (for example, a school health record).]

B. Exemptions.

Research activities in which the only involvement of human subjects will be in one or more of the following six categories of **exemptions** are not covered by the regulations:

(1) Research conducted in established or commonly accepted educational settings, involving normal educational practices, such as (a) research on regular and special education instructional strategies, or (b) research on the effectiveness of or the comparison among instructional techniques, curricula, or classroom management methods.

(2) Research involving the use of educational tests (cognitive, diagnostic, aptitude, achievement), survey procedures, interview procedures or observation of public behavior, unless: (a) information obtained is recorded in such a manner that human subjects can be identified, directly or through identifiers linked to the subjects; and (b) any disclosure of the human subjects' responses outside the research could reasonably place the subjects at risk of criminal or civil liability or be damaging to the subjects' financial standing, employability, or reputation. ***If the subjects are children, this exemption applies only to research involving educational tests or observations of public behavior when the investigator(s) do not participate in the activities being observed.*** [Children are defined as persons who have not attained the legal age for consent to treatments or procedures involved in the research, under the applicable law or jurisdiction in which the research will be conducted.]

(3) Research involving the use of educational tests (cognitive, diagnostic, aptitude, achievement), survey procedures, interview procedures or observation of public behavior that is not exempt under section (2) above, if the human subjects are elected or appointed public officials or candidates for public office; or federal

statute(s) require(s) without exception that the confidentiality of the personally identifiable information will be maintained throughout the research and thereafter.

(4) Research involving the collection or study of existing data, documents, records, pathological specimens, or diagnostic specimens, if these sources are publicly available or if the information is recorded by the investigator in a manner that subjects cannot be identified, directly or through identifiers linked to the subjects.

(5) Research and demonstration projects which are conducted by or subject to the approval of department or agency heads, and which are designed to study, evaluate, or otherwise examine: (a) public benefit or service programs; (b) procedures for obtaining benefits or services under those programs; (c) possible changes in or alternatives to those programs or procedures; or (d) possible changes in methods or levels of payment for benefits or services under those programs.

(6) Taste and food quality evaluation and consumer acceptance studies, (a) if wholesome foods without additives are consumed or (b) if a food is consumed that contains a food ingredient at or below the level and for a use found to be safe, or agricultural chemical or environmental contaminant at or below the level found to be safe, by the Food and Drug Administration or approved by the Environmental Protection Agency or the Food Safety and Inspection Service of the U.S Department of Agriculture.

Copies of the Department of Education's Regulations for the Protection of Human Subjects, 34 CFR Part 97 and other pertinent materials on the protection of human subjects in research are available from the Grants Policy and Oversight Staff (GPOS) Office of the Chief Financial and Chief Information Officer, U.S. Department of Education, Washington, D.C., telephone: (202) 708-8263, and on the U.S. Department of Education's Protection of Human Subjects in Research Web Site at <http://ocfo.ed.gov/humansub.htm>.

NOTICE TO ALL APPLICANTS
(ENSURING EQUITABLE ACCESS)
AND
APPLICATION FORMS AND INSTRUCTIONS

NOTICE TO ALL APPLICANTS

The purpose of this enclosure is to inform you about a new provision in the Department of Education's General Education Provisions Act (GEPA) that applies to applicants for new grant awards under Department programs. This provision is Section 427 of GEPA, enacted as part of the Improving America's Schools Act of 1994 (Pub. L. 103-382).

To Whom Does This Provision Apply?

Section 427 of GEPA affects applicants for new grant awards under this program. **ALL APPLICANTS FOR NEW AWARDS MUST INCLUDE INFORMATION IN THEIR APPLICATIONS TO ADDRESS THIS NEW PROVISION IN ORDER TO RECEIVE FUNDING UNDER THIS PROGRAM.**

(If this program is a State-formula grant program, a State needs to provide this description only for projects or activities that it carries out with funds reserved for State-level uses. In addition, local school districts or other eligible applicants that apply to the State for funding need to provide this description in their applications to the State for funding. The State would be responsible for ensuring that the school district or other local entity has submitted a sufficient section 427 statement as described below.)

What Does This Provision Require?

Section 427 requires each applicant for funds (other than an individual person) to include in its application a description of the steps the applicant proposes to take to ensure equitable access to, and participation in, its Federally-assisted program for students, teachers, and other program beneficiaries with special needs.

This provision allows applicants discretion in developing the required description. The statute highlights six types of barriers that can impede equitable access or participation: gender, race, national origin, color, disability, or age. Based on local circumstances, you should determine whether these or other barriers may prevent your students, teachers, etc. from such access or participation in, the Federally-funded project or activity. The description in your application of steps to be taken to overcome these barriers need not be lengthy; you may provide a clear and

succinct description of how you plan to address those barriers that are applicable to your circumstances. In addition, the information may be provided in a single narrative, or, if appropriate, may be discussed in connection with related topics in the application.

Section 427 is not intended to duplicate the requirements of civil rights statutes, but rather to ensure that, in designing their projects, applicants for Federal funds address equity concerns that may affect the ability of certain potential beneficiaries to fully participate in the project and to achieve to high standards. Consistent with program requirements and its approved application, an applicant may use the Federal funds awarded to it to eliminate barriers it identifies.

What are Examples of How an Applicant Might Satisfy the Requirement of This Provision?

The following examples may help illustrate how an applicant may comply with Section 427.

(1) An applicant that proposes to carry out an adult literacy project serving, among others, adults with limited English proficiency, might describe in its application how it intends to distribute a brochure about the proposed project to such potential participants in their native language.

(2) An applicant that proposes to develop instructional materials for classroom use might describe how it will make the materials available on audio tape or in braille for students who are blind.

(3) An applicant that proposes to carry out a model science program for secondary students and is concerned that girls may be less likely than boys to enroll in the course, might indicate how it intends to conduct "outreach" efforts to girls, to encourage their enrollment.

We recognize that many applicants may already be implementing effective steps to ensure equity of access and participation in their grant programs, and we appreciate your cooperation in responding to the requirements of this provision.

Estimated Burden Statement for GEPA Requirements

The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to vary from 1 to 3 hours per response, with an average of 1.5 hours, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather and maintain the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. **If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this form, please write to:** U.S. Department of Education, Washington, DC 20202-4651.

APPLICATION FORMS AND INSTRUCTIONS

The application is divided into four parts. These parts are organized in the same manner that the submitted application should be organized. These parts are as follows:

Part I: Application for Federal Education Assistance (ED 424) and Instructions.

Part II: Budget Information -- Non-Construction Programs (ED Form 524) and Instructions.

Part III: Application Narrative.

Part IV: Assurances and Certifications --

Assurances -- Non-Construction Programs (Standard Form 424B).

Certifications Regarding Lobbying; Debarment, Suspension and Other Responsibility Matters; and Drug-Free Workplace Requirements (ED Form 80-0013).

Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion -- Lower Tier Covered Transactions (ED Form 80-0014) and Instructions.

Disclosure of Lobbying Activities.

Important Notice to Prospective Participants in USDE Contract and Grant Programs.

An applicant may submit information on a photostatic copy of the application and budget forms, the assurances, and the certifications. However, the application form, the assurances, and the certifications must each have an **original signature**. No grant may be awarded unless a completed application form has been received.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
BUDGET INFORMATION
NONCONSTRUCTION PROGRAMS

OMB Control No. 1880-0538

Expiration Date: 10/31/99

Name of Institution/Organization

Applicants requesting funding for only one year should complete the column under "Project Year 1."
Applicants requesting funding for multi-year grants should complete all applicable columns. Please read all instructions before completing form.

SECTION A - BUDGET SUMMARY
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION FUNDS

Budget Categories	Project Year 1 (a)	Project Year 2 (b)	Project Year 3 (c)	Project Year 4 (d)	Project Year 5 (e)	Total (f)
1. Personnel						
2. Fringe Benefits						
3. Travel						
4. Equipment						
5. Supplies						
6. Contractual						
7. Construction						
8. Other						
9. Total Direct Costs (lines 1-8)						
10. Indirect Costs						
11. Training Stipends						
12. Total Costs (lines 9-11)						

Name of Institution/Organization	Applicants requesting funding for only one year should complete the column under "Project Year 1." Applicants requesting funding for multi-year grants should complete all applicable columns. Please read all instructions before completing form.
----------------------------------	--

SECTION B - BUDGET SUMMARY

NON-FEDERAL FUNDS

Budget Categories	Project Year 1 (a)	Project Year 2 (b)	Project Year 3 (c)	Project Year 4 (d)	Project Year 5 (e)	Total (f)
1. Personnel						
2. Fringe Benefits						
3. Travel						
4. Equipment						
5. Supplies						
6. Contractual						
7. Construction						
8. Other						
9. Total Direct Costs (lines 1-8)						
10. Indirect Costs						
11. Training Stipends						
12. Total Costs (lines 9-11)						

SECTION C – OTHER BUDGET INFORMATION (see instructions)

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to vary from 13 to 22 hours per response, with an average of 17.5 hours, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the U.S. Department of Education, Information Management and Compliance Division, Washington, D.C. 20202-4651; and the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project 1875-0102, Washington, D.C. 20503.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ED FORM NO. 524

General Instructions

This form is used to apply to individual U.S. Department of Education discretionary grant programs. Unless directed otherwise, provide the same budget information for each year of the multi-year funding request. Pay attention to applicable program specific instructions, if attached.

Section A - Budget Summary U.S. Department of Education Funds

All applicants must complete Section A and provide a breakdown by the applicable budget categories shown in lines 1-11.

Lines 1-11, columns (a)-(e): For each project year for which funding is requested, show the total amount requested for each applicable budget category.

Lines 1-11, column (f): Show the multi-year total for each budget category. If funding is requested for only one project year, leave this column blank.

Line 12, columns (a)-(e): Show the total budget request for each project year for which funding is requested.

Line 12, column (f): Show the total amount requested for all project years. If funding is requested for only one year, leave this space blank.

Section B - Budget Summary Non-Federal Funds

If you are required to provide or volunteer to provide matching funds or other non-Federal resources to the project, these should be shown for each applicable budget category on lines 1-11 of Section B.

Lines 1-11, columns (a)-(e): For each project year for which matching funds or other contributions are provided, show the total contribution for each applicable budget category.

Lines 1-11, column (f): Show the multi-year total for each budget category. If non-Federal contributions are provided for only one year, leave this column blank.

Line 12, columns (a)-(e): Show the total matching or other contribution for each project year.

Line 12, column (f): Show the total amount to be contributed for all years of the multi-year project. If non-Federal contributions are provided for only one year, leave this space blank.

Section C - Other Budget Information Pay attention to applicable program specific instructions, if attached.

1. Provide an itemized budget breakdown, by project year, for each budget category listed in Sections A and B.
2. If applicable to this program, enter the type of indirect rate (provisional, predetermined, final or fixed) that will be in effect during the funding period. In addition, enter the estimated amount of the base to which the rate is applied, and the total indirect expense.
3. If applicable to this program, provide the rate and base on which fringe benefits are calculated.
4. Provide other explanations or comments you deem necessary.

PART III - APPLICATION NARRATIVE

This narrative section of the application requires applicants to address the selection criteria that will be used by reviewers in evaluating individual applications. Please refer to the “**Selection Criteria and Format**” sections in this package for the competition to which you wish to submit an application.

Also, all of the competitions covered by this package have page limitations for the application narrative. Please refer to the “**Page Limits**” information for the competition to which you wish to submit an application.

ASSURANCES - NON-CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMS

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 15 minutes per response, including time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0348-0040), Washington, DC 20503

PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR COMPLETED FORM TO THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET. SEND IT TO THE ADDRESS PROVIDED BY THE SPONSORING AGENCY.

Note: Certain of these assurances may not be applicable to your project or program. If you have questions, please contact the awarding agency. Further, certain Federal awarding agencies may require applicants to certify to additional assurances. If such is the case, you will be notified.

As the duly authorized representative of the applicant I certify that the applicant:

1. Has the legal authority to apply for Federal assistance, and the institutional, managerial and financial capability (including funds sufficient to pay the non-Federal share of project cost) to ensure proper planning, management, and completion of the project described in this application.
2. Will give the awarding agency, the Comptroller General of the United States, and if appropriate, the State, through any authorized representative, access to and the right to examine all records, books, papers, or documents related to the award; and will establish a proper accounting system in accordance with generally accepted accounting standards or agency directives.
3. Will establish safeguards to prohibit employees from using their positions for a purpose that constitutes or presents the appearance of personal or organizational conflict of interest, or personal gain.
4. Will initiate and complete the work within the applicable time frame after receipt of approval of the awarding agency.
5. Will comply with the Intergovernmental Personnel Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. §§4728-4763) relating to prescribed standards for merit systems for programs funded under one of the 19 statutes or regulations specified in Appendix A of OPM's Standards for a Merit System of Personnel Administration (5 C.F.R. 900, Subpart F).
6. Will comply with all Federal statutes relating to nondiscrimination. These include but are not limited to: (a) Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (P.L. 88-352) which prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color or national origin; (b) Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, as amended (20 U.S.C. §§1681-1683, and 1685-1686), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex; (c) Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended (29 U.S.C. §794), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of handicaps; (d) the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, as amended (42 U.S.C. §§ 6101-6107), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of age; (e) the Drug Abuse Office and Treatment Act of 1972 (P.L. 92-255), as amended, relating to nondiscrimination on the basis of drug abuse; (f) the Comprehensive Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism Prevention, Treatment and Rehabilitation Act of 1970 (P.L. 91-616), as amended, relating to nondiscrimination on the basis of alcohol abuse or alcoholism; (g) §§ 523 and 527 of the Public Health Service Act of 1912 (42 U.S.C. §§ 290 dd-3 and 290 ee 3), as amended, relating to confidentiality of alcohol and drug abuse patient records; (h) Title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. § 3601 et seq.), as amended, relating to nondiscrimination in the sale, rental or financing of housing; (i) any other nondiscrimination provisions in the specific statute(s) under which application for Federal assistance is being made; and (j) the requirements of any other nondiscrimination statute(s) which may apply to the application.
7. Will comply, or has already complied, with the requirements of Titles II and III of the uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970 (P.L. 91-646) which provide for fair and equitable treatment of persons displaced or whose property is acquired as a result of Federal or federally assisted programs. These requirements apply to all interests in real property acquired for project purposes regardless of Federal participation in purchases.
8. Will comply, as applicable, with the provisions of the Hatch Act (5 U.S.C. §§1501-1508 and 7324-7328) which limit the political activities of employees whose principal employment activities are funded in whole or in part with Federal funds.

9. Will comply, as applicable, with the provisions of the Davis-Bacon Act (40 U.S.C. §§276a to 276a-7), the Copeland Act (40 U.S.C. §276c and 18 U.S.C. §§874) and the Contract Work Hours and Safety Standards Act (40 U.S.C. §§ 327-333), regarding labor standards for federally assisted construction subagreements.
10. Will comply, if applicable, with flood insurance purchase requirements of Section 102(a) of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (P.L. 93-234) which requires recipients in a special flood hazard area to participate in the program and to purchase flood insurance if the total cost of insurable construction and acquisition is \$10,000 or more.
11. Will comply with environmental standards which may be prescribed pursuant to the following: (a) institution of environmental quality control measures under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (P.L. 91-190) and Executive Order (EO) 11514; (b) notification of violating facilities pursuant to EO 11738; (c) protection of wetlands pursuant to EO 11990; (d) evaluation of flood hazards in floodplains in accordance with EO 11988; (e) assurance of project consistency with the approved State management program developed under the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. §§1451 et seq.); (f) conformity of Federal actions to State (Clear Air) Implementation Plans under Section 176(c) of the Clear Air Act of 1955, as amended (42 U.S.C. §§7401 et seq.); (g) protection of underground sources of drinking water under the Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as amended, (P.L. 93-523); and (h) protection of endangered species under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended, (P.L. 93-205).
12. Will comply with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968 (16 U.S.C. §§1721 et seq.) related to protecting components or potential components of the national wild and scenic rivers system.
13. Will assist the awarding agency in assuring compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended (16 U.S.C. §470), EO 11593 (identification and protection of historic properties), and the Archaeological and Historic Preservation Act of 1974 (16 U.S.C. §§469a-1 et seq.).
14. Will comply with P.L. 93-348 regarding the protection of human subjects involved in research, development, and related activities supported by this award of assistance.
15. Will comply with the Laboratory Animal Welfare Act of 1966 (P.L. 89-544, as amended, 7 U.S.C. §§2131 et seq.) pertaining to the care, handling, and treatment of warm blooded animals held for research, teaching, or other activities supported by this award of assistance.
16. Will comply with the Lead-Based Paint Poisoning Prevention Act (42 U.S.C. §§4801 et seq.) which prohibits the use of lead-based paint in construction or rehabilitation of residence structures.
17. Will cause to be performed the required financial and compliance audits in accordance with the Single Audit Act Amendments of 1996 and OMB Circular No. A-133, "Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations."
18. Will comply with all applicable requirements of all other Federal laws, executive orders, regulations and policies governing this program.

SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED CERTIFYING OFFICIAL	TITLE	
APPLICANT ORGANIZATION		DATE SUBMITTED

CERTIFICATIONS REGARDING LOBBYING; DEBARMENT, SUSPENSION AND OTHER RESPONSIBILITY MATTERS; AND DRUG-FREE WORKPLACE REQUIREMENTS

Applicants should refer to the regulations cited below to determine the certification to which they are required to attest. Applicants should also review the instructions for certification included in the regulations before completing this form. Signature of this form provides for compliance with certification requirements under 34 CFR Part 82, "New Restrictions on Lobbying," and 34 CFR Part 85, "Government-wide Debarment and Suspension (Nonprocurement) and Government-wide Requirements for Drug-Free Workplace (Grants)." The certifications shall be treated as a material representation of fact upon which reliance will be placed when the Department of Education determines to award the covered transaction, grant, or cooperative agreement.

1. LOBBYING

As required by Section 1352, Title 31 of the U.S. Code, and implemented at 34 CFR Part 82, for persons entering into a grant or cooperative agreement over \$100,000, as defined at 34 CFR Part 82, Sections 82.105 and 82.110, the applicant certifies that:

(a) No Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of the undersigned, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the making of any Federal grant, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any Federal grant or cooperative agreement;

(b) If any funds other than Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this Federal grant or cooperative agreement, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form - LLL, "Disclosure Form to Report Lobbying," in accordance with its instructions;

(c) The undersigned shall require that the language of this certification be included in the award documents for all subawards at all tiers (including subgrants, contracts under grants and cooperative agreements, and subcontracts) and that all subrecipients shall certify and disclose accordingly.

2. DEBARMENT, SUSPENSION, AND OTHER RESPONSIBILITY MATTERS

As required by Executive Order 12549, Debarment and Suspension, and implemented at 34 CFR Part 85, for prospective participants in primary covered transactions, as defined at 34 CFR Part 85, Sections 85.105 and 85.110--

A. The applicant certifies that it and its principals:

(a) Are not presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from covered transactions by any Federal department or agency;

(b) Have not within a three-year period preceding this application been convicted of or had a civil judgement rendered against them for commission of fraud or a criminal offense in connection with obtaining, attempting to obtain, or performing a public (Federal, State, or local) transaction or contract under a public transaction; violation of Federal or State antitrust statutes or commission of embezzlement, theft, forgery, bribery, falsification or destruction of records, making false statements, or receiving stolen property;

(c) Are not presently indicted for or otherwise criminally or civilly charged by a governmental entity (Federal, State, or local) with commission of any of the offenses enumerated in paragraph (1)(b) of this certification; and

(d) Have not within a three-year period preceding this application had one or more public transaction (Federal, State, or local) terminated for cause or default; and

B. Where the applicant is unable to certify to any of the statements in this certification, he or she shall attach an explanation to this application.

3. DRUG-FREE WORKPLACE (GRANTEES OTHER THAN INDIVIDUALS)

As required by the Drug-Free Workplace Act of 1988, and implemented at 34 CFR Part 85, Subpart F, for grantees, as defined at 34 CFR Part 85, Sections 85.605 and 85.610 -

A. The applicant certifies that it will or will continue to provide a drug-free workplace by:

(a) Publishing a statement notifying employees that the unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensing, possession, or use of a controlled substance is prohibited in the grantee's workplace and specifying the actions that will be taken against employees for violation of such prohibition;

(b) Establishing an on-going drug-free awareness program to inform employees about-

(1) The dangers of drug abuse in the workplace;

(2) The grantee's policy of maintaining a drug-free workplace;

(3) Any available drug counseling, rehabilitation, and employee assistance programs; and

(4) The penalties that may be imposed upon employees for drug abuse violations occurring in the workplace;

(c) Making it a requirement that each employee to be engaged in the performance of the grant be given a copy of the statement required by paragraph (a);

(d) Notifying the employee in the statement required by paragraph (a) that, as a condition of employment under the grant, the employee will-

(1) Abide by the terms of the statement; and

(2) Notify the employer in writing of his or her conviction for a violation of a criminal drug statute occurring in the workplace no later than five calendar days after such conviction;

(e) Notifying the agency, in writing, within 10 calendar days after receiving notice under subparagraph (d)(2) from an employee or otherwise receiving actual notice of such conviction. Employers of convicted employees must provide notice, including position title, to: Director, Grants and Contracts Service, U.S. Department of Education, 600 Independence Avenue, S.W. (Room 3600, GSA Regional Office Building No. 3), Washington, DC 20202-4130. Notice shall include the identification number(s) of each affected grant;

(f) Taking one of the following actions, within 30 calendar days of receiving notice under subparagraph (d)(2), with respect to any employee who is so convicted-

(1) Taking appropriate personnel action against such an employee, up to and including termination, consistent with the requirements of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended; or

(2) Requiring such employee to participate satisfactorily in a drug abuse assistance or rehabilitation program approved for such purposes by a Federal, State, or local health, law enforcement, or other appropriate agency;

(g) Making a good faith effort to continue to maintain a drug-free workplace through implementation of paragraphs (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), and (f).

B. The grantee may insert in the space provided below the site(s) for the performance of work done in connection with the specific grant:

**DRUG-FREE WORKPLACE
(GRANTEES WHO ARE INDIVIDUALS)**

Place of Performance (Street address, city, county, state, zip code)

Check ☐ if there are workplaces on file that are not identified here.

As required by the Drug-Free Workplace Act of 1988, and implemented at 34 CFR Part 85, Subpart F, for grantees, as defined at 34 CFR Part 85, Sections 85.605 and 85.610-

A. As a condition of the grant, I certify that I will not engage in the unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensing, possession, or use of a controlled substance in conducting any activity with the grant; and

B.

B. If convicted of a criminal drug offense resulting from a violation occurring during the conduct of any grant activity, I will report the conviction, in writing, within 10 calendar days of the conviction, to: Director, Grants and Contracts Service, Department of Education, 600 Independence Avenue, S.W. (Room 3600, GSA Regional Office Building No. 3), Washington, DC 20202-4130. Notice shall include the identification number(s) of each affected grant.

As the duly authorized representative of the applicant, I hereby certify that the applicant will comply with the above certifications.

NAME OF APPLICANT	PR/AWARD NUMBER AND/OR PROJECT NAME
PRINTED NAME AND TITLE OF AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE	
SIGNATURE	DATE

Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion -- Lower Tier Covered Transactions

This certification is required by the Department of Education regulations implementing Executive Order 12549, Debarment and Suspension, 34 CFR Part 85, for all lower tier transactions meeting the threshold and tier requirements stated at Section 85.110.

Instructions for Certification

1. By signing and submitting this proposal, the prospective lower tier participant is providing the certification set out below.
2. The certification in this clause is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was entered into. If it is later determined that the prospective lower tier participant knowingly rendered an erroneous certification, in addition to other remedies available to the Federal Government, the department or agency with which this transaction originated may pursue available remedies, including suspension and/or debarment.
3. The prospective lower tier participant shall provide immediate written notice to the person to which this proposal is submitted if at any time the prospective lower tier participant learns that its certification was erroneous when submitted or has become erroneous by reason of changed circumstances.
4. The terms Acovered transaction, Adebarred, Asuspended, Aineligible, Alower tier covered transaction, Aparticipant, A person, Aprimary covered transaction, A principal, Aproposal, and Avoluntarily excluded, as used in this clause, have the meanings set out in the Definitions and Coverage sections of rules implementing Executive Order 12549. You may contact the person to which this proposal is submitted for assistance in obtaining a copy of those regulations.
5. The prospective lower tier participant agrees by submitting this proposal that, should the proposed covered transaction be entered into, it shall not knowingly enter into any lower tier covered transaction with a person who is debarred, suspended, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this covered transaction, unless authorized by the department or agency with which this transaction originated.
6. The prospective lower tier participant further agrees by submitting this proposal that it will include the clause titled ACertification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility, and Voluntary Exclusion-Lower Tier Covered Transactions, without modification, in all lower tier covered transactions and in all solicitations for lower tier covered transactions.
7. A participant in a covered transaction may rely upon a certification of a prospective participant in a lower tier covered transaction that it is not debarred, suspended, ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from the covered transaction, unless it knows that the certification is erroneous. A participant may decide the method and frequency by which it determines the eligibility of its principals. Each participant may but is not required to, check the Nonprocurement List.
8. Nothing contained in the foregoing shall be construed to require establishment of a system of records in order to render in good faith the certification required by this clause. The knowledge and information of a participant is not required to exceed that which is normally possessed by a prudent person in the ordinary course of business dealings.
9. Except for transactions authorized under paragraph 5 of these instructions, if a participant in a covered transaction knowingly enters into a lower tier covered transaction with a person who is suspended, debarred, ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction, in addition to other remedies available to the Federal Government, the department or agency with which this transaction originated may pursue available remedies, including suspension and/or debarment.

Certification

- (1) The prospective lower tier participant certifies, by submission of this proposal, that neither it nor its principals are presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction by any Federal department or agency.
- (2) Where the prospective lower tier participant is unable to certify to any of the statements in this certification, such prospective participant shall attach an explanation to this proposal.

NAME OF APPLICANT	PR/AWARD NUMBER AND/OR PROJECT NAME
PRINTED NAME AND TITLE OF AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE	
SIGNATURE	DATE

DISCLOSURE OF LOBBYING ACTIVITIES

Approved by OMB
0348-0046

Complete this form to disclose lobbying activities pursuant to 31 U.S.C 1352
(See reverse for public burden disclosure.)

1. Type of Federal Action: <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 45%;"> <input type="checkbox"/> a. contract <input type="checkbox"/> b. grant <input type="checkbox"/> c. cooperative agreement <input type="checkbox"/> d. loan </div> <div style="width: 45%;"> <input type="checkbox"/> a. bid/offer/application <input type="checkbox"/> b. initial award <input type="checkbox"/> c. post-award </div> </div>	3. Report Type: <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 45%;"> <input type="checkbox"/> a. initial filing <input type="checkbox"/> b. material change </div> <div style="width: 45%;"> <input type="checkbox"/> For Material </div> </div>
4. Name and Address of Reporting Entity: <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 45%;"> G Prime GSubawardee Tier _____, if known: </div> <div style="width: 45%;"> Congressional District, if known: </div> </div>	5. If Reporting Entity in No.4 is Subawardee, Enter Name and Address of Prime: Congressional District, if known:
6. Federal Department/Agency:	7. Federal Program Name/Description: CFDA Number, if applicable: _____
8. Federal Action Number, if known:	9. Award Amount, if known: \$ _____
10. a. Name and Address of Lobbying Entity Registrant <i>(if individual, last name, first name, MI):</i>	b. Individuals Performing Services <i>(including address if different from No. 10a)</i> <i>(last name, first name, MI):</i>
11. Amount of Payment <i>(check all that apply):</i> \$ _____ G actual G planned	13. Type of Payment <i>(Check all that apply):</i> <div style="margin-left: 20px;"> G a. retainer G b. one-time fee G c. commission G d. contingent fee G e. deferred G f. other; specify: _____ _____ </div>
12. Form of Payment <i>(check all that apply):</i> <div style="margin-left: 20px;"> G a. cash G b. in-kind; specify: nature _____ _____ value _____ _____ </div>	
14. Brief Description of Services Performed or to be Performed and Date(s) of Service, including officer(s), employee(s), or Member(s) contacted, for Payment Indicated in Item 11: <div style="text-align: center; font-size: small;">(attach Continuation Sheet(s) SF-LLL-A, if necessary)</div>	
15. Continuation Sheet(s) SF-LLL attached: G Yes G No	
16. Information requested through this form is authorized by title 31 U.S.C. section 1352. This disclosure of lobbying activities is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed by the tier above when this transaction was made or entered into. This disclosure is required pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 1352. This information will be reported to the Congress semi-annually and will be available for public inspection. Any person who fails to file the required disclosure shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each such failure.	Signature: _____ Print Name: _____ Title: _____ Telephone No.: _____ Date: _____
Federal Use Only	Authorized for Local Reproduction Standard Form - LLL

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETION OF SF-LLL, DISCLOSURE OF LOBBYING ACTIVITIES

This disclosure form shall be completed by the reporting entity, whether subawardee or prime Federal recipient, the initiation or receipt of a covered Federal action, or a material change to a previous filing, pursuant to title 31 U.S.C. section 1352. The filing of a form is required for each payment or agreement to make payment to any lobbying entity for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with a covered Federal action. Use the SF-LLL-A Continuation Sheet for additional information if the space on the form is inadequate. Complete all items that apply for both the initial filing and material change report. Refer to the implementing guidance published by the Office of Management and Budget for additional information.

1. Identify the type of covered Federal action for which lobbying activity is and/or has been secured to influence the outcome of a covered Federal action.
2. Identify the status of the covered Federal action.
3. Identify the appropriate classification of this report. If this is a follow up report caused by a material change to the information previously reported, enter the year and quarter in which the change occurred. Enter the date of the last previously submitted report by this reporting entity for this covered Federal action.
4. Enter the full name, address, city, state and zip code of the reporting entity. Include Congressional District, if known. Check the appropriate classification of the reporting entity that designates if it is, or expects to be, a prime or subaward recipient. Identify the tier of the subawardee, e.g., the first subawardee of the prime is the 1st tier. Subawards include but are not limited to subcontracts, subgrants and contract awards under grants.
5. If the organization filing the report in item 4 checks "Subawardee" then enter the full name, address, city, state and zip code of the prime Federal recipient. Include Congressional District, if known.
6. Enter the name of the Federal agency making the award or loan commitment. Include at least one organizational level below agency name, if known. For example, Department of Transportation, United States Coast Guard.
7. Enter the Federal program name or description for the covered Federal action (item 1). If known, enter the full Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) number for grants, cooperative agreements, loans, and loan commitments.
8. Enter the most appropriate Federal identifying number available for the Federal action identified in item 1 (e.g., Request for Proposal (RFP) number; Invitation for Bid (IFB) number, grant announcement number; the contract, grant, or loan award number; the application/proposal control number assigned by the Federal agency). Include prefixes, e.g., "RFP-DE-90-001."
9. For a covered Federal action where there has been an award or loan commitment by the Federal agency, enter the Federal amount of the award/loan commitment for the prime entity identified in item 4 or 5.
10. (a) Enter the full name, address, city, state, and zip code of the lobbying entity registrant under the Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995 engaged by the reporting entity identified in item 4 to influence the covered Federal action.
(b) Enter the full names of the individual(s) performing services, and include full address if different from 10(a). Enter Last Name, First Name, and Middle Initial (MI).
11. Enter the amount of compensation paid or reasonably expected to be paid by the reporting entity (item 4) to the lobbying entity (item 10). Indicate whether the payment has been made (actual) or will be made (planned). Check all boxes that apply. If this a material change report, enter the cumulative amount of payment made or planned to be made.
12. Check the appropriate box(es). Check all boxes that apply. If payment is made through an in-kind contribution, specify the nature and value of in-kind payment.
13. Check the appropriate box(es). Check all boxes that apply. If other specify nature.
14. Provide a specific and detailed description of the services that the lobbyist has performed, or will be expected to perform, and the date(s) of any services rendered. Include all preparatory and related activity, not just time spent in actual contact with Federal officials. Identify the Federal official(s) contacted or the officer(s), employee(s), or Member(s) of Congress that were contacted.
15. Check whether or not a SF-LLL-A Continuation Sheet(s) is attached.
16. The certifying official shall sign and date the form, print his/her name, title, and telephone number.

**IMPORTANT NOTICE
TO PROSPECTIVE PARTICIPANTS
IN THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
CONTRACT AND GRANT PROGRAMS**

GRANTS

Applicants for grants from the U.S. Department of Education (ED) have to compete for limited funds. Deadlines assure all applicants that they will be treated fairly and equally, without last minute haste. For these reasons, ED must set strict deadlines for grant applications. Prospective applicants can avoid disappointment if they understand that -

Failure to meet a deadline will mean that an application will be
rejected without any consideration whatever.

The rules, including the deadline, for applying for each grant are published, individually, in the Federal Register. A one-year subscription to the Register may be obtained by sending \$340.00 to: Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402-9371. (Send check or money order only, on cash or stamps.)

The instructions in the federal Register must be followed exactly. Do not accept any other advice you may receive. No ED employee is authorized to extend any deadline published in the Register.

Questions regarding submission of applications may be addressed to:

U.S. Department of Education
Application Control Center
Washington, DC 20202-4725

Competitive procurement actions undertaken by the ED are governed by the Federal Procurement Regulation and implementing ED Procurement Regulation.

Generally, prospective competitive procurement actions are synopsized in the Commerce Business Daily (CBD). Prospective offerors are therein advised of the nature of the procurement and where to apply for copies of the Request for Proposals (RFP).

Offerors are advised to be guided solely by the contents of the CBD synopsis and the instructions contained in the RFP. Questions regarding the submission of offers should be addressed to the Contracts Specialist identified on the face page of the RFP.

Offers are judged in competition with other, and failure to conform with any substantive requirements of the RFP will result in rejection of the offer without any consideration whatever.

Do not accept any advice you receive that is contrary to instructions contained in either the CBD synopsis or RFP. No ED employee is authorized to consider a proposal which is non-responsive to the RFP.

A subscription to the CBS is available for \$208.00 per year via second class mailing or \$261.00 per year via first class mailing. Information included in the Federal Acquisition Regulations is contained in Title 48, Code of Federal Regulations, Chapter 1 (\$ 49.00). The foregoing publication may be obtained by sending your check or money order only, no cash or stamps, to:

Superintendent of Documents
U.S. Government Printing Office
Washington, DC 20402-9371

In an effort to be certain this important information is widely disseminated, this notice is being included in all ED Mail to the public. You may, therefore, receive more than one notice. If you do, we apologize for any annoyance it may cause you.

ED Form 5548 8/92 REPLACES ED FORM 5548, 6/86 WHICH IS OBSOLETE
Standard Form - LLL-A

NOTICE TO ALL APPLICANTS: The Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA)

What is GPRA

The Government Performance and Results Act of 1993 is a straightforward statute that requires all Federal agencies to manage their activities with attention to the consequences of those activities. Each agency is to clearly state what intends to accomplish, identify the resources required, and periodically report their progress to the Congress. In doing so, it is expected that GPRA will contribute to improvements in accountability for the expenditures of public funds, improve Congressional decision-making through more objective information on the effectiveness of Federal programs, and promote a new government focus on results, service delivery, and customer satisfaction.

How has the United States Department of Education Responded to the GPRA Requirements?

As required by GPRA, the United States Department of Education (the Department) has prepared a strategic plan for 1998-2002. This plan reflects the Department's priorities and integrates them with its mission and program authorities and describes how the Department will work to improve education for all children and adults in the United States. The Department's goals, as listed in the plan, are:

- Goal 1:** Help all students reach challenging academic standards so that they are prepared for responsible citizenship, further learning, and productive employment.
- Goal 2:** Build a solid foundation for learning for all children.
- Goal 3:** Ensure access to postsecondary education and lifelong learning.
- Goal 4:** Make the United States Department of Education a high performance organization by focusing on results, service quality, and customer satisfaction.

DUNS Number Instructions

D-U-N-S No.: Please provide the applicant's D-U-N-S Number. You can obtain your D-U-N-S Number at no charge by calling 1-800-333-0505 or by completing a D-U-N-S Number Request Form. The form can be obtained via the Internet at the following URL:

<http://www.dnb.com/dbis/aboutdb/intlduns.htm>

The D-U-N-S Number is a unique nine-digit number that does not convey any information about the recipient. A built in check digit helps assure the accuracy of the D-U-N-S Number. The ninth digit of each number is the check digit, which is mathematically related to the other digits. It lets computer systems determine if a D-U-N-S Number has been entered correctly.

Dun & Bradstreet, a global information services provider, has assigned D-U-N-S numbers to over 43 million companies worldwide.

GRANT APPLICATION RECEIPT ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

If you fail to receive the notification of application receipt within fifteen (15) days from the closing date, call:

U.S. Department of Education
Coordination and Control Branch
(202) 708-9493